# **Physical Sciences Section**

Pak. j. sci. ind. res., vol. 38, nos. 5-6, May-June, 1995

# **COPPER (I) COMPLEXES OF TRIPHENYLPHOSPHINE AND AMINOPHENOL**

NAJMA SULTANA, M. SAEED ARAYNE\* AND HAMIDA TABASSUM

Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Karachi, Karachi-75270, Pakistan

(Received January 1, 1994 ; revised February 2, 1995)

Some new complexes of copper(I) containing mixed ligands having general formula [CuX(PPh<sub>3</sub>)(Amph)], [Cu<sub>2</sub>X<sub>2</sub>(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>(Amph) and [Cu<sub>n</sub>Z<sub>n</sub>(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(Amph)] [where X = Cl; Br, I and Z = NO<sub>3</sub>- or SCN; n = 1,4 or 5 and PPh<sub>3</sub> = triphenylphosphine and Am ph = aminophenol] have been synthesized from [CuX(PPh<sub>3</sub>)]<sub>4</sub> and [CuX (PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub> in benzene in variable ratios and characterized on the basis of infra red studies and elemental analysis. Magnetic susceptibility and conductivity measurements have also been carried out. These appear to be dimers and polymeric structures having bridging of halides, nitrate or thiocyanate groups between the two copper atoms.

Key words: Copper (I) Complexes. Mixed ligand of Triphenylphosphine, Aminophenol complexes.

# Introduction

A number of workers have prepared copper (I) halide [1-3], nitrato [4] and thiocyanat [5] complexes with triphenylphosphine bearing the formula  $[CuX(PPh_3)]4$ ,  $[CuX(PPh_3)_3]$ ,  $[CuX(PPh_3)_2]_2$  and  $[CuZ(PPh_3)_2]_2$  [where X = halide and Z= NO<sub>3</sub> or SCN]. Structurally they are considered bridged tetramers, tetrahedrals and bridged dimers respectively.

In previous papers [6-11] we have reported mixed ligand complexes of copper (1) and silver (1) of the type  $[CuX(PPh_3)(HOx)]_2$  [6],  $[AgX(PPh_3)_y(HOx)_2]_n$  [7],  $[Cu_2X_2(PPh_3)_2Pz]$  and  $[Cu_4Z_4(PPh_3)_6Pz]$  [8],  $[AgX(PPh_3)An]_2$  [9],  $[CuX(PPh_3)_n(Quin)_n]$  [10] and  $[Cu_2X_2(PPh_3)_2(Mepy)]$ ,  $[CuX(PPh_3)_2(Mepy)]$  [11] [Where HOx=8- hydroxy-quinoline, Pz=pyrazine, An=aniline, Quin=quinoline and Mepy = 2- Methy;pyridine].

In this paper preparation and characterization of copper (I) complexes of triphenylphosphine and 2-aminophenol (1:1-1:n metal-ligand ratio) are reported.

#### Experimental

 $[CuX(PPh_3)(Amph)]$ . was prepared by refluxing equimolar quantities of tetrakis [halo (triphenylphosphine) copper (I)] [12] and 2-aminophenol in benzene for 4 hr. The mother liquor after repeated crystallizations gave the products of the above formula. m.pt.  $[CuCl(PPh_3) (Amph)] = 224^{\circ}C;$  $[CuBr(PPh_3) (Amph)] = 212^{\circ}C; [Cul(PPh_3) (Amph)] = 208^{\circ}C.$ 

 $[Cu_2X_2(PPh_y)_3(Amph)]$ . Was prepared by refluxing di-u-[halobis(triphenylphosphine) dicopper (I) [CuX (PPh\_3)\_2] and aminophenol in equimolar ratio in benzene for 4-5 hr. The resulting solution gave colored crystals on cooling at room

\*Department of Chemistry, University of Karachi, Karachi-75270, Pakistan.

temperature which were washed with benzene followed by diethyl ether and dried. m. pt.;  $[Cu_2Cl_2(PPh_3)_3(Amph)] = 156^{\circ}C; [Cu_2Br_2(PPh_3)_3 (Amph)] = 192^{\circ}C; [Cu_2l_2 (PPh_3)_3(Amph)] = 200^{\circ}C.$ 

When the concentration of the ligand was doubled in the above reaction,  $[CuCl(PPh_3)_2]$  gave crystals of aminophenol, the bromide compound gave the product  $[CuBr(PPh_3) (Amph)m.pt = 212 \text{ °C};$  whereas in case of the iodo compound, the parent compound  $[CuI(PPh_3)_2]$  itself crystallized out.

 $[Cu_s(NO_y)_s(PPh_y)_2(Amph)]$ . Was synthesized by refluxing one mole of di-u-[nitratobis(triphenylphosphine) dicopper (1)]and excess (4 mols) of 2-aminophenol in ethanol for 2-3 hr. The solution was then left overnight for crystallization at room temperature. Crystals so obtained were washed and dried, m.p.t. = 200°C.

 $[Cu_{J}(SCN)_{J}(PPh_{J})_{2}(Amph)]$ : The procedure adopted was same as mentioned above except that chloroform was used instead of ethanol. m.p.260°C.

Spectral and analytical measurements. IR spectra of the complexes were recorded in CsI pellets by the courtesy of Material Science Corporation, Chicago.

Carbon, hydrogen and nitrogen were estimated by micro analytical method on C,H and N analyzed by the courtsey of Prof. S.F.A. Kettle, University of East Anglia, Sheffield, England. Copper was estimated spectrophotometrically using atomic absorption spectrophotometer at the absorption line of 324.8 nm. Halides were estimated volumetrically by Volhard's method [13]. Phosphorous was estimated spectrophotometrically by the Hetero Poly Blue Method [14]. Conductance measurements were carried out on a conductometric bridge using nitrobenzene as solvent. Magnetic susceptibility measurements were carried out on a Guoy Balance and all the complexes were found to be diamagnetic.

					1				and the second s	and the second
No.	Compound	M.P.(C°)	Colour	$Elements \rightarrow$	С	Н	N	Х	Cu	Р
1	[CuCl(PPh,)(C,H,NO)]	224	brown	Calculated	61.2	4.6	2.9	7.5	13.5	11.8
				Found	61.1	4.8	3.0	7.8	14.0	11.6
2	[CuBr(PPh,)(C,H,NO)]	212	d.brown	Calculated	56.1	4.28	2.72	15.3	12.3	6.0
				Found	55.8	4.16	2.53	15.0	12.01	6.0
3	[Cul(PPh,)(C,H,NO)]	208	d.brown	Calculated	51.3	3.92	2.49	22.6	11.22	5.5
				Found	51.01	3.89	2.16	23.2	10.9	5.6
4	[Cu,Cl,(PPh,),(C,H,NO)]	156	b.black	Calculated	68.8	5.0	1.9	4.84	8.67	3.0
				Found	68.1	5.01	1.7	4.71	8.80	3.2
5	[Cu,Br,(PPh,),(C,H,NO)]	192	d.brown	Calculated	61.0	4.4	1.81	13.3	10.76	7.8
				Found	59.81	4.21	1.07	14	10.5	7.9
6	$[Cu_{1}(PPh_{1})_{3}(C_{6}H_{7}NO)]$	214	I.brown	Calculated	56.4	4.0	1.0	19.9	9.96	7.2
				Found	56.8	3.99	0.9	20.2	10.1	7.1
7	$[Cu_4(SCN)_4(PPh_3)_2(C_6H_7NO)]$	260	I.brown	Calculated	54	3.9	2.9		26.8	6.5
				Found	54.8	3.7	3	-	26.4	6.5
8	[Cu <sub>5</sub> (NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>5</sub> (PPh <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> (C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>7</sub> NO)]	210	black	Calculated	39.79	2.9	6.6	-	25	4.88
	Second State State			Found	39.8	2.6	6.9		25	4.9

Table 1. Characterization Data of the Complexes.

## **Results and Discussion**

All the complexes are air stable at room temperature and can be kept for months in well stoppered vials. They are soluble in common solvents such as ethanol, methanol, butanol and acetone and sparingly soluble in carbon tetrachloride, chloroform, benzene, cyclohexane, dimethylsulfoxide and nitrobenzene to the extent that solution spectra and conductance data could be obtained. This property suggests that ionic species are unlikely.

Various workers have studied the absorption spectra of aminophenol complexes. It is evident from the spectral data that copper is coordinated tetrahedrally. The IR spectral data in Table 2 represents the main features of the complexes.

On the basis of elemental analysis these complexes may be classified into three types. (1)  $[CuX(PPh_3)(Amph)]$ , (2)  $[Cu_2X_2(PPh_3)_3(Amph)]$  and (3)  $[Cu_4(SCN)_4(PPh_3)_2(Amph)]$ and  $[Cu_5(NO_3)_5(PPh_3)_2(Amph)]$ .

The very low solubility of these complexes in common organic solvents precluded molecular weight determination. These complexes are usually dark brown or black in color, probably due to charge transfer since the complexes themselves are diamagnetic. Anion bridged dimers may be assumed to show distorted tetrahedral and pentagonal structures. Conductance measurements showed them to be nonionic in nature.

In halo-tetrakis (triphenylphosphine) and halo bis (triphenyl phosphine) copper (I), there are three coordination groups in the monomeric formula which do not complete square coordination of copper and hence the bridged structure has to be assumed for them [15].

The reaction of tetrakis[halo(triphenylphosphine) copper (I)] [CuX(PPh<sub>3</sub>)]<sub>4</sub> with 2-aminophenol in equimolar quantities gave compounds of the type  $[CuX(PPh_3)(Amph)]$ ; when the concentration of the ligand was doubled or increased to four fold in the above reaction still the same product was obtained as that from equimolar ratio.

The di-u-[halobis (triphenylphosphine) dicopper(I)] complexes when treated with aminophenol in equimolar ratio in benzene gave  $[Cu_2X_2(PPh_3)_3(Amph)]$ ; on increasing the concentration of the ligand aminophenol itself seperated out in case of chloro compound; whereas in bromo complex we get  $[CuBr(pph_3) (Amph)$ . The  $[CuI(PPh_3)_2]$  compound gave parent compound  $[CuI(PPh_3)_2]$  with some impurities of aminophenol crystallized out.

The absorption in the region 690-710 cm- [16] attributed to out of plane ring deformations is observed in the region 690-700 cm<sup>-</sup> in our complex. Shifts in the position of this peak coupled with an increase in intensity relative to the peak observed for the free ligand are a diagnostic of the coordination of triphenylphosphine [17].

According to Sheldon and Tyree [15] a band is observed near 720 cm<sup>-</sup> whenever phosphine acts as a donor or it is in the four coordinated state. This band in our complexes occurs in association with the band of 698 cm- in the form of a doublet at 740-750 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

Absorption in the region 1090 - 1105 cm<sup>-</sup> of the complexes prepared indicate that phosphorus atom is coordinated to copper as discussed Deacons and Green [16] and the shifts to higher energies with the increased intensity supports the assumption that the phosphine groups are coordinated.

The absorption range for the O-H valence stretching vibration of an unbonded hydroxyl group is shown at 3700-3500cm<sup>-1</sup>[18].Related work in this field suggests that stretching frequncies for free OH groups are within much narrower

limits and that the overall range may not be greater than 3650-3590 [19], 3636-3618 [20] and 3644-3605 cm<sup>-1</sup> [21].

The influence of aromatic ring also lowers the free OH frequency and the band occurs at 3616-3588 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The intermolecular hydrogen bond vibration in single bridge compounds appear around 3500 cm<sup>-1</sup> (v) sharp, while the polymeric association appears as a broad band at 3400-3200 cm<sup>-1</sup> [22].

An OH frequency of 2600 cm<sup>-1</sup> has also been found in compounds involving a chelated hydroxyl group and a heterocyclic nitrogen atom [23]. In many cases of this type the absence of any OH absorption in the fundamental region has been reported, presumably owing to its being a weak band which has been superimposed upon the strong CH stretching absorption near 3000 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

The OH deformation and C-O stretching absorptions of phenols are found at 1200 cm<sup>-1</sup> and 1410-1310 cm<sup>-1</sup>. In phenols, despite studies in a series of different states [24,25], there is still doubt as to whether the OH deformation mode may occur near 1200 cm<sup>-1</sup> or near 1350 cm<sup>-1</sup> as both these absorptions are sensitive to changes in the hydrogen bonding pattern. The 1075-1000 cm<sup>-1</sup> region is considered to C-O stretching mode [26,27] but others relate it to OH deformation [28]. in phenols the C-O stretching mode absorbs at higher frequencies under the influence of aromatic ring, therefore possibilities are for coupling between O-H and C-O frequencies or between C-O and aromatic ring vibration. In case of phenol, the 1180 cm<sup>-1</sup> band is regarded as the strongest O-H character possessing band [29]. The main coupling pattern in this case is probably the aromatic ring vibration at 1310 cm<sup>-1</sup>, although other aromatic absorption could also be involved.

2-aminophenol itself absorbs in 3400-2510 cm<sup>-1</sup> region giving two broad and one sharp doublet. The other main i.r bands are given in Table 2.

In the prepared complexes, the medium broad peak at  $3420 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  is assigned for the O-H absorption and the C-H stretching vibrations [22]. The absorption bands found in the window region 2700-1850 cm<sup>-1</sup> are assigned to C=C vibrations. The 1410 and 1320cm<sup>-1</sup> peaks are due to C-O stretching vibrations and the 1260 cm<sup>-1</sup> absorption is assigned to OH in plane deformation. As common 1460-1430, 745-740 and 690 cm<sup>-1</sup> peaks are due to triphenyl phosphine coordination.

The characteristic absorption at 1180 cm<sup>-1</sup> which has strongest OH character [30] is found in between 1180-1170

ID A D

S.N	o Compounds	Bands
1.	(C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> P	3100 (s.qd) 1500-1400 (s. trip) 1080 (s) 1025 (s) 745 (s.db) 690-700 (s.db)
2.	NH <sub>2</sub> C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> OH	3400-2510 (br& 1db) 1600 (s) 1480 (s) 1430(s) 1380 (sh) 1300-1200 (2bd.s) 1150-1140 (db.s) 1080-1060 (db.s) 1030 (s) 920 (sm) 900, 850 (br.sh) 800,760,740 (db.s) 730(s)
3.	[CuCl(PPh3)(Amph)]2	3420 (m.br) 3020 (trip.s) 1960 (db.s) 1900-1880 (db) 1586 (m) 1470(s) 1420(s) 1300 (s) 1180(s) 1150 (s) ?090 (s) 1060 (s) 1020 (s) 990(s) 910(s) 840 (s) 740 (s) 690 (db.s) 610 (m) 500 (db.br)420 (trip.)
4.	[CuBr(PPh <sub>3</sub> )(Amph)]	3380(s) 3280(s) 3020 (db) 2160-1580 (db) 1490 (s) 1460 (s) 1410 (s) 1390 (m) 1320 (m) 1300 (m)1270(sm)1260(s)1226(db.sm)1020(sh) 990(s)896(m)840(m)740(s)690(db.s)510(s)490(db) 430 (m)
5.	[Cul(PPh <sub>3</sub> )(Amph)] <sub>2</sub>	3450 (s) 3290 (s) 3000 (s) 2580 (s) 1575 (s) 1480 (s) 1440 (s) 1400 (s) 1380 (s) 1260-1240 (db.s) 1200-1190 (db.s) 1120 (s) 1050-1040 (s) 1010 (s) 990 (s) 870 (s) 820 (s) 740 (s) 710 (s) 660 (s) 490
6.	[CuCl <sub>2</sub> (PPh <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> (Amph)] <sub>2</sub>	3040 (s.trip) 1985 (m) 1880 (sm) 1650 (m) 1580 (s) 1560 (s) 1480 (s) 1560 (s) 1480 (s) 1425 (s) 1380 (s) 1270 (db.) 1180 (s) 1090 (s) 1060 (s) 1020 (s) 990 (s) 850 (s) 745 (s) 690-670 (db.s) 610 (s) 500-480 (trip) 460 (db)
7.	[Cu <sub>2</sub> Br <sub>2</sub> (PPh <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> (Amph)] <sub>2</sub>	3020 (s.trip) 1950 (sm) 1880 (br) 1800(br) 1650 (db) 1580 (sm) 1560 (sm) 1470 (s) 1420 (s) 1380 (sm) 1270 (sm) 1250 (s) 1180 (m) 1150 (s) 1090 (s) 1060 (s) 1020 (s) 990 (s) 960 (sm) 920 (sm) 850 (sm) 745 (sm) 670-690 (db.s) 610 (m) 480-500 (trip.s) 460 (db).
8.	[Cu <sub>2</sub> I <sub>2</sub> (PPh <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> (Amph)] <sub>2</sub>	3040 (s) 1950 (s) 1580 (s) 1477 (s) 1430 (s) 1380 (s) 1270-1250 (db.s) 1180 (db.s) 1090 (s) 1060 (s)1036(s) 1010(s) 990 (s) 870 (s) 745 (s) 690 (s) 610 (s) 490-470 (db.s)
9.	[Cu <sub>4</sub> SCN(PPh <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> (Amph)]	3280 (s) 3040 (s) 3100 (s) 1596 (s) 1480 (s) 1435 (s) 1180 (s) 1130 (s) 1098 (s) 1030 (s) 1000 (s) 742 (db.s) 690 (s)
10.	$[Cu_{5}(NO_{3})_{5}(PPh_{3})_{2}(Amph)]$	3420 (s) 3020 (s) 2720 (s) 2320(s) 2070 (s) 1590 (s) 1485 (s) 1435 (s) 1380 (s) 1240 (s) 1160 (s) 1090 (s) 1050 (s) 1030 (s) 990 (s) 840 (s) 810 (s) 745 (s) 690 (s) 520 (s) 460

0.0

 $cm^{-1}$ , this shift, of  $\pm 10 cm^{-1}$  is due to coordination.

A sharp peak in the region  $840-830 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  in our complexes has been shown by other workers in the metal chelates ranging from  $870-850 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , is assigned to a diatomic vibration possibly due to the carbon oxygen bond or a metal oxygen stretching frequency. Secondly due to the presence of amino group, the bonding may be through the nitrogen of amino group, showing absorption in  $800 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  region, thus we can say that aminophenol may act as a bidentate ligand, bonded, through oxygen of the OH and nitrogen of the NH<sub>2</sub> group.

Although in all the compounds there is a strong absorption in 830 - 800 cm<sup>-1</sup> region, but it has been found in the literature that if there is a strong band in 2600 cm<sup>-1</sup> region, for OH frequncy then there is a chelated hydroxyl group [31], as no such band is observed in our complexes excet nitrato complex, so we can say that aminophenol is acting as a monodentate ligand and the band at 840 - 800 cm<sup>-1</sup> is due to M- O bonding. The proposed structure for the halo compounds could be an anionic bridged dimer or polymer.

For  $[CuCl(PPh_3)_2(Amph)]$ , the compound have square planar structure, with  $D_2h$  symmetry and dsp<sup>2</sup> hybridization.

The reaction of aminophenol with di-u-[thiocyanatobis (triphenylphosphine) dicopper (I)] in excess (4 mole) gave the compound  $[Cu_4(SCN)_4(PPh_3)_2(Amph)]$ . The i.r. spectrum of the compound shows strong absorption in the thiocyanate C-N region at 2108 cm<sup>-1</sup> and no absorption in 800 cm<sup>-1</sup> region proposed it a S bonded ligand. Similarly no absorption at 2600 cm<sup>-1</sup> characterized that aminophenol is acting as a non-chelated ligand. Band at this energy suggests that sulphur bonded groups are determined by Mitchel and Williams [32]. It is expected that N-bonding of cu(I) may facilitate the n-acceptor behavior of triphenylphosphine and may favor S-coordination.

The spectrum of the complex presents a strong band at lower frequency than the value required for a bridging thiocyanato group as stated in case of  $(NH_4)[Cd(NCS)_4]$  $2H_2O[33]$  it may be due to the presence of 2 ligands having a large difference in basicity and in a bonding ability towards the copper (II) atoms. Similar results were obtained by Toeindiskoetter and Solomon [34] when examining several complexes of N-heterocyclic amines with Cu(I) and Cu(II) thiocyanates.

In case of nitrato complex  $[Cu_5(NO_3)_5 (PPh_3)_2 (Amph)]$ there may be two possible structures to be considered; tricoordinate monomer and a nitrato bridged dimer.  $[CuNO_3(PPh_3)_2]$  on the basis of X-ray analysis has shown to contain tetrahedrally coordinated copper (I) and a bidentate nitrato group [35]. In the present nitrato complexes bands at 1284 cm<sup>-1</sup> supported the bidantate nature of NO<sub>3</sub> [36].Similarly the absorption at 2720 cm<sup>-1</sup> and 2070 cm<sup>-1</sup> is found only in this compound confirming the chelating nature of aminophenol along with the absorption at 810-800 cm<sup>-1</sup> confirming the bidentate nature of NO<sub>3</sub> ion. On the basis of this IR knowledge we may suggest the structure of  $(Cu_5(NO_3)_5(PPh_3)_2(Amph)]$  with a distorted pentacoordinated shape. In conclusion we can say, in aminophenol complexes, only in nitrato compound, this ligand act as a bidentate, otherwise it appeared as a monodentate ligand in halo and thiocyanato compounds.

## References

- F. Glockling and K.A. Hooton, J. Chem. Soc., 2658 (1962).
- G. Costa, G. Pellizer and F. Rubessa, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem., 26, 961 (1964).
- A.G. Vohra and T. Jannat, Pak. j. sci. ind. res., 16, 164, (1973).
- F.A. Cotton and D.M.L. Goodgame, J. Chem. Soc., 5267 (1960).
- 5. A.G. Vohra, Ph.D. Thesis, University of London (1969).
- I.A. Khan and N. Sultana, Pak. j. sci. ind. res., 22(6),293(1979)
- 7. N.Sultana, I.A. Khan and S.S.R. Naqvi, Rev. Roum. Chim., 25, 105 (1980)
- N. Sultana, I.A. Khan and M.S. Arayne, Ind. J. Chem., 20A, 409 (1981).
- I.A. Khan and N. Sultana, Ind. J. Chem., 22A, 425 (1983).
- N. Sultana, M.S. Arayne and A. Mian, Karachi Uni. J. Sci., 16 (1-2), 39 (1988).
- 11. N. Sultana, H. Tabassum and M.S. Arayne, Ind. J. Chem., (accepted for publication) (1993).
- A.G. Vohra and T. Jannat, Pak. J. Sci. Res., 16, 164 (1973).
- I.A. Vogel, *Quant. Inorg. Analysis* (Longman Green and Co., 1978), IVth ed., pp.342.
- N. Sultana, I.A.K. Afridi and M.S. Arayne, J. Pham. Univ. Karachi, I(1), 49 (1982).
- B. Sheldon and S.Y. Tyree., J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 80, 2117 (1958).
- G.B. Deacon and J.H.S. Green, Chem. and Ind., 1031(1965).
- F.G. Mann, D. Purdie and A.F. Wells, J. Chem. Soc., 1503 (1936).
- 18. H.W. Thompson, J. Chem. Soc., 328 (1948).
- O.R. Wulf and U. Liddel, J. Am. chem. Soc., 57, 1464 (1935).
- J.J. Fox and A.E. Martin, Proc. Roy. Soc., A162, 419 (1937), A175, 208 (1941).
- 21. L.P. Kuhn, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 74, 2492 (1952).

- 22. J. Coblentz, *Investigations of Infrared Spectra* (Carnegie Institute, 1905), Part I, pp. 35.
- 23. R.F. Branch, Nature, 177, 671 (1956).

Û

- 24. D.F. Lewis, S.J. Lippard and P.S. Welcker, J. Am. Chem. Soc., **92**, 3805 (1970).
- J.T. Gill, J.J. Mayerle, P.S. Welcker, D.F. Lewis, D.A. Ucko, D.J. Barton, D. Stowers and S.J. Lippard, Inorg. Chem., 15, 1155 (1976).
- 26. D.J. Saturnino and P.R. Girardot, Inorg. Chem., **13**, 2914 (1974).
- 27. G.V. Tsintsadze, Chem. Abs., 100, 201272x (1984).
- 28. C.H. Kline Jr. and J. Turkevich, J. Chem. Phys., 12, 300 (1944).

- 29. J.S. Roberts and M. Szware, J. Chem. Phys., 16, 981 (1948).
- R. Mecke and G. Rossmy, Z. Electrochem., 59, 866 (1955).
- 31. F. Branch, Nature, 177, 671 (1956).
- 32. P.C.H. Mitchel and R.J.P. Williams, J. Chem., 1912 (1960).
- 33. J. Chatt and L.A. Duncanson, Nature, 178, 997 (1956).
- 34. R.H. Toeiniskoetler and S. Solomon, Inorg. Chem., 7, 617.
- 35. G.G. Messmer and G.L. Palenik, Can. J. Chem., 47, 1440 (1969), Inorg. Chem., 8, 2750 (1969).
- 36. N.F.Curtis and Y.M. Curtis, Inorg. Chem., 4, 804 (1965).