

## ION PAIRS AND SOLVENT-SOLUTE INTERACTION: Conductance of Sodium Chloride in Methanol-H<sub>2</sub>O and Glycerol-H<sub>2</sub>O Mixtures at Different Temperatures

HASSAN A. SHEHATA

*Chemistry Department, Faculty of Science, Al-Azhar University, Nasr City, Cairo, Egypt*

(Received September 19, 1992; revised December 30, 1993)

The conductance of sodium chloride over the approximate range of concentration up to 0.02M has been measured in methanol-H<sub>2</sub>O and glycerol-H<sub>2</sub>O mixtures at 25, 30, 35, and 40°. For mixtures with the same dielectric constant, the association constant,  $K_A$ , is over an order of magnitude greater in the glycerol mixtures. This mainly is due to effect of hydrogen bond. Glycerol-H<sub>2</sub>O is more hydrogen bonded solvent than methanol-H<sub>2</sub>O. Also,  $K_A$  values increase as the proportion of methanol or glycerol increases in the mixture. The thermodynamic parameters  $\Delta H^\circ$ ,  $\Delta G^\circ$ , and  $\Delta S^\circ$  were also calculated. It turned out that the entire process of ionic association in those systems are endothermic ones. The results are discussed on the basis of ion solvent interaction.

**Key words:** Conductance, Association, Thermodynamics.

### Introduction

In the course of our studies on association phenomena [1-6], it was necessary to study the effect of dielectric constant,  $D$ . However, in case of some mixed solvents, which have the same dielectric constant at constant temperature, the major role is due to another factor, i.e., the effect of hydrogen bonding [7]. The present work deals with conductometric measurements of sodium chloride, in some mixed solvents at different temperatures. Our measurements serve mainly two purposes. The first: study the effect on the association of NaCl of solvents which exhibit hydrogen bonding. Conductance of NaCl in glycerol-H<sub>2</sub>O and in methanol-H<sub>2</sub>O mixtures were measured. The ratios were adjusted in both mixtures to give methanol-H<sub>2</sub>O and glycerol-H<sub>2</sub>O solutions with the same dielectric constant. Secondly: in mixed solvent, NaCl exhibit ionic association to some extent. Actually interference from the association has been observed in our studies of the formation of complexes in some mixed solvents by using NaCl to control the ionic strength [8]. This interference causes systematic errors in the chemical quantities we want to measure. Therefore, in order to remove the interference we studied ionic association of NaCl in mixed solvents at different temperatures.

### Experimental

**Chemicals.** Sodium chloride, analytical reagent grade, the individual stock solution was standardized by an ion-exchange procedure [9]. Glycerol was purified [10]. Methanol was refluxed over magnesium metal and iodine for 1 hr., then purified by distillation at 65° (boiling point of methanol) in water bath. Deionized distilled water was used for all dilutions.

**Electric conductance.** The measurements were carried out with conductivity meter, model LBR, equipped with a cell of model LTA 100. Temperature was maintained constant by circulating the cell compartment with water at the proper temperature  $\pm 0.01^\circ$ . Full details concerning electrical equipment and general technique have been outlined elsewhere [1]. The conductance of NaCl has been measured in 20, 30, 40% methanol-H<sub>2</sub>O and in 30, 40, 60% glycerol-H<sub>2</sub>O mixtures at 25, 30, 35, and 40°.

**Physical properties of solvents.** Viscosities and dielectric constants [11] of methanol-H<sub>2</sub>O and glycerol-H<sub>2</sub>O mixtures at various temperatures are summarized in Table 1.

### Results and Discussion

The experimental data were analysed by using the conductance equation

$$\Lambda = \gamma [\Lambda_0 (1 + \Delta X/X) - \Delta \Lambda_e] \dots \dots \dots (1)$$

where  $\Lambda$  is the equivalent conductance,  $\Lambda_0$  is the equivalent conductance at infinite dilution,  $\Delta \Lambda_e$  is the electrophoresis term,  $X$  is the field strength in volt cm<sup>-1</sup>,  $\Delta X$  is the relaxation effect correction to the field strength and,  $\gamma$  is the degree of dissociation.

The above equation is a version of the Hsia-Fuoss conductance equation [12] adapted by D'Aprano [13]:

(1). The relaxation term  $\Delta X/X$  is the Hsia-Fuoss [12] function in which the variable  $K_a$  is replaced by  $\tau = \beta k/2$ ,  $\beta = \epsilon^2/DKT$ ; this change [14] corresponds to using the Bjerrum radius  $\beta/2$  as the lower limit in the integration instead of the contact distance "a", where  $\tau$  is Fuoss-Onsager parameter,  $\beta$  is Boltzmann's constant,  $\epsilon$  is the electronic charge in esu,  $D$  is



dielectric constant, T is absolute temperature, K is reciprocal of average radius of ion atmosphere in  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ .

(2). The association constant  $K_A$

$$K_A = (1 - \gamma)/C \gamma^2 f^2$$

where  $f$  is activity coefficient is given by the relation:

$$K_A = (4\pi N B^3 / 6000) F(b), \quad b = \beta/a$$

which contains the function

$$F(b) = E_p(b) - (e^b/b) [1 + (1/b) + 2.435] \dots\dots\dots (2)$$

derived in 1961 by Fuoss and Onsager [15] and replaces the 1958 equation [16].

$$K_A = (4\pi N a^3 / 3000) e^b$$

where  $C$  is the molar concentration,  $f$  is activity coefficient,  $N$  is Avogadro's Number, " $a$ " is distance of closest approach of two ions in cm. The electrophoretic term  $\Delta\Lambda_o$ , and the relaxation term  $\Delta X/X$  are given explicitly in the Appendix 1.

(3). Activity coefficients are computed by:

$$-\ln f = \tau / (1 + \tau)$$

instead of the Debye Huckel limiting equation.

(4). The electrophoresis term  $\Lambda_o$ , formerly approximated [12] by:

$$\Delta\Lambda = [B_o C^{1/2} (1 + ka)] (1 + \Delta X/X)$$

has been replaced by

$$\Delta\Lambda_o = [B_o C^{1/2} / (1 + \tau)] F(\tau)$$

where  $F(\tau)$  explicitly gives the effect of interaction between relaxation and velocity fields instead of approximating it by multiplying the limit value  $B_o C^{1/2}$  by  $(1 + \Delta X/X)$ . Its principal

effect is to introduce a previously missed term  $[-E_2 C^{1/2} n C]$  in the electrophoresis [16], where  $B_o$  is electrophoretic coefficient of Onsager equation.

(5). The volume term in volume fraction has been omitted.

The revised conductance equation (1) has been programmed for the electronic computer to find the conductometric parameters ( $\Lambda_o$  and  $K_A$ ). The program is a two parameter search; given a set of data ( $C_j, A_j$ ), dielectric constant, viscosity and temperature, it finds the values of  $\Lambda_o$  and  $K_A$ . Then  $b$  is obtained by solving the inverse of eq. (2) and the contact distance is given by ( $a = B/b$ ).

All calculations were made on a M S X computer. The results are summarized in Tables 2, 3, where  $\Lambda_o$  is the limiting

TABLE 2. COMPUTED VALUES OF  $K_A, \Lambda_o$  AND  $\sigma$  FOR NaCl IN METHANOL- $H_2O$  MIXTURES AT VARIOUS TEMPERATURES.

% of methanol by weight	T °K	$K_A$ LM <sup>-1</sup>	$\Lambda_o$ mho.cm <sup>2</sup>	$\sigma$
20	298	1.60	121.30	0.003
	303	2.05	132.43	0.003
	308	2.51	144.56	0.004
	313	2.98	156.69	0.004
30	298	2.75	118.50	0.015
	303	3.15	129.35	0.018
	308	3.54	141.20	0.020
	313	4.04	153.05	0.025
40	298	3.82	115.40	0.032
	303	4.41	125.94	0.035
	308	4.84	137.48	0.038
	313	5.32	149.02	0.039

TABLE 3. COMPUTED VALUES OF  $K_A, \Lambda_o$  AND  $\sigma$  FOR NaCl IN GLYCEROL- $H_2O$  MIXTURES AT VARIOUS TEMPERATURES.

% of glycerol by weight	T °K	$K_A$ LM <sup>-1</sup>	$\Lambda_o$ mho.cm <sup>2</sup>	$\sigma$
30	298	3.40	76.24	0.0003
	303	4.01	82.86	0.0028
	308	4.55	90.48	0.0031
	313	5.12	98.11	0.0035
50	298	6.40	41.88	0.0004
	303	7.08	46.01	0.0036
	308	7.62	50.29	0.0039
60	313	8.21	54.18	0.0042
	298	8.12	24.48	0.0045
	303	8.71	27.10	0.0041
	308	9.44	29.52	0.0048
	313	10.14	31.98	0.0056

TABLE 1. VALUES OF VISCOSITIES AND DIELECTRIC CONSTANTS FOR METHANOL- $H_2O$  AND GLYCEROL- $H_2O$  MIXTURES AT VARIOUS TEMPERATURES.

%by weight	Property	298 °K	303 °K	308 °K	313 °K
METHANOL- $H_2O$ MIXTURES					
20	Viscosity (cP)	0.3922	0.3231	0.2621	0.2154
	dielectric constant	69.20	67.86	66.52	65.18
30	Viscosity (cP)	0.5852	0.5062	0.3935	0.3124
	dielectric constant	64.30	63.20	62.10	61.00
40	Viscosity (cP)	0.7530	0.6837	0.6232	0.5563
	dielectric constant	60.94	59.33	57.72	56.17
GLYCEROL- $H_2O$ MIXTURES					
30	Viscosity (cP)	2.157	1.876	1.637	1.385
	dielectric constant	70.00	68.23	66.53	64.87
50	Viscosity (cP)	5.041	4.247	3.591	2.835
	dielectric constant	64.00	62.35	60.71	59.55
60	Viscosity (cP)	8.823	7.312	5.348	3.506
	dielectric constant	60.00	58.61	57.10	55.34



conductance,  $K_A$  is the association constant, and  $\sigma$  is the standard deviation.

It can be seen from the data in Tables 2, 3 that  $\Lambda_0$  values of NaCl in both glycerol-H<sub>2</sub>O and methanol-H<sub>2</sub>O mixtures are smaller than those in aqueous medium. The values of  $\Lambda_0$  are very low in glycerol-H<sub>2</sub>O mixtures in comparison with those in methanol-H<sub>2</sub>O. This is mainly due to the relatively higher viscosity of glycerol in comparison with methanol. Also, it is clear that  $\Lambda_0$  values decrease slightly with increasing fraction of methanol in the mixtures, but the values of  $\Lambda_0$  are drastically decreased as the fraction of glycerol increase. This possibly suggests that intramolecular hydrogen bonding taking place in glycerol-H<sub>2</sub>O mixture must be diminishing the mobility of the ions and hence resulting in a decrease of the values of the equivalent conductances. Such hydrogen bonds are expected to be greater in the glycerol-H<sub>2</sub>O solvent [18]. The  $\Lambda_0$  values for all systems under study increased when the temperature increases. The thermal motion probably destroys the solvent structure and hence the mobility of the ions should increase, causing higher conductance.

The association constants,  $K_A$ , increased when the temperature is increased for all systems investigated. Also, it increases as the proportion of methanol or glycerol in the mixture increase.

However, although the dielectric constants of glycerol-H<sub>2</sub>O and methanol-H<sub>2</sub>O mixtures are in the same range of the values ( $D = 64.0, =64.3$ , respectively at 25°), sodium chloride is less associated in methanol-H<sub>2</sub>O ( $K_A = 2.75$ ) than in glycerol-H<sub>2</sub>O ( $K_A = 6.4$ ). The differences between two values is due to the effect of hydrogen bonding, where hydrogen bonds form the solvate cluster, which is followed by desolvation of the ions causing higher association between Na<sup>+</sup> and Cl<sup>-</sup>. The solvation of the ions is weakened as soon as the ion pair is formed, and therefore, NaCl becomes more solvated in methanol-H<sub>2</sub>O than in glycerol-H<sub>2</sub>O.

In case of electrolytes with small ions, such as sodium chloride in mixtures containing water, specific ion-solvent interactions have been observed. Frank and Wen [19] postulated that the solvent around an ion can be divided into three regions of different structure. The region nearest the ions is one of dielectric saturation, because the ionic field is of the order of hundreds of megavolts/cm. The third region is the solvent at relatively large distance from the ion, where the solvent can be completely described by its macroscopic properties. The second region is a rather vaguely defined region of transition between completely oriented or hydrogen-bonded molecules and completely free solvent molecules. Gurney [20] had previously introduced the convenient term of cosphere to refer to the solvent in the first two regions. The approximation can be made that the cosphere for sodium ions is

due to ion-dipole forces [21,22] and the cosphere for anions due to hydrogen-bonded solvent molecules [23]. We assume that the energies of interaction are high enough to hold the solvent molecules, even in the ion pairs. In the case of hydrogen bonded solvents, the resulting solvent structure can in some cases be reinforced by the presence of ions and in other cases will be destroyed.

The relations between logarithm of association constants,  $K_A$  and reciprocal of absolute temperatures,  $1/T$ , are straight lines, with slope equals  $-\Delta H^\circ/R$ . Therefore, standard enthalpy changes,  $\Delta H^\circ$ , can be evaluated. Also, standard free energy changes,  $\Delta G^\circ$ , calculated from:  $\Delta G^\circ = -RT \ln K_A$ . Then, standard entropy changes,  $\Delta S^\circ$ , calculated by differences:  $\Delta S^\circ = (\Delta H^\circ - \Delta G^\circ)/T$ . Thermodynamic parameters  $\Delta G^\circ$ ,  $\Delta H^\circ$  and  $\Delta S^\circ$  which were obtained for NaCl in different solvents are presented in Tables 4, 5.

In all the systems studied, the standard enthalpy change,  $\Delta H^\circ$ , values are found to be positive indicating that heat must be added to reactants for the equilibrium to take place in forming the ion pairs. It is clear that the association of NaCl in methanol-H<sub>2</sub>O mixtures is more endothermic than that of NaCl in glycerol-H<sub>2</sub>O media. Also, the endothermicity is decreased as the proportion of glycerol or methanol in the mixture increased. This again in harmony with the fact that the association of NaCl is increased as the proportion of solvent increased.

In our previous paper [1], we studied the association of KBr in 10% glycerol-H<sub>2</sub>O mixture at different temperatures, where  $\Delta H^\circ$  and  $\Delta S^\circ$  have negative values and  $K_A$  decrease with temperature. However, in the present paper,  $\Delta H^\circ$  and  $\Delta S^\circ$  have positive values and  $K_A$  increase with temperature for NaCl in

TABLE 4. THERMODYNAMIC PARAMETERS FOR ASSOCIATION OF NaCl IN METHANOL-H<sub>2</sub>O MIXTURES AT VARIOUS TEMPERATURES.

% of methanol by weight	T K	$-\Delta G^\circ$ kJ.mol <sup>-1</sup> k	$\Delta H^\circ$ J.mol <sup>-1</sup>	$\Delta S^\circ$ J(mol.°K) <sup>-1</sup>
20	298	1.16	14.35	52.05
	303	1.81	14.35	53.33
	308	2.36	14.35	54.25
	313	2.84	14.35	54.92
30	298	2.51	9.10	38.96
	303	2.89	9.10	39.57
	308	3.24	9.10	40.06
	313	3.63	9.10	40.67
40	298	3.32	6.65	33.46
	303	3.74	6.65	34.29
	308	4.04	6.65	34.71
	313	4.35	6.65	35.14



glycerol-H<sub>2</sub>O. The reversal in the signs of thermodynamic parameters  $\Delta H^\circ$  and  $\Delta S^\circ$  can be explained as follow: according to the results of thermodynamic functions in Tables 4 and 5, if we consider that from a rudimentary standpoint the ion pair is formed with only the action of the coulombic force in a continuum medium, both the values of  $\Delta H^\circ$  and  $\Delta S^\circ$  of the ion pair formation will be negative. In general, however, the solvation of ions is weakened as soon as the ion pair is formed. This situation turns out to increase in both  $\Delta H^\circ$  and  $\Delta S^\circ$ . Therefore, all the experimental values of  $\Delta H^\circ$  and  $\Delta S^\circ$  in Tables 4 and 5 are positive.

For all systems studied, the standard free energy change,  $\Delta G^\circ$ , have negative values, which indicate that the association process proceed spontaneously in the direction. The values of  $\Delta G^\circ$  for glycerol-H<sub>2</sub>O are higher than those for methanol-H<sub>2</sub>O mixtures, which agree well with the previous fact. The standard entropy change,  $\Delta S^\circ$ , have positive values which again in harmony with negativity of  $\Delta G^\circ$ . The  $\Delta S^\circ$  values for glycerol-H<sub>2</sub>O mixtures are larger than those for methanol-H<sub>2</sub>O. The association is accompanied by the loss of hydration, resulting in an increase in the disorder of the system. This is most likely the reason behind the higher values for  $\Delta S^\circ$  of NaCl in glycerol-H<sub>2</sub>O over the methanol-H<sub>2</sub>O media.

TABLE 5. THERMODYNAMIC PARAMETERS FOR ASSOCIATION OF NaCl IN GLYCEROL-H<sub>2</sub>O MIXTURES AT VARIOUS TEMPERATURES.

% of methanol by weight	T °K	$-\Delta G^\circ$ kJ.mol <sup>-1</sup>	$\Delta H^\circ$ kJ.mol <sup>-1</sup>	$\Delta S^\circ$ J(mol.°K) <sup>-1</sup>
30	298	3.03	9.09	40.67
	303	3.50	9.09	41.55
	308	3.88	9.09	42.11
	313	4.25	9.09	42.62
50	298	4.65	6.35	36.91
	303	4.93	6.35	37.23
	308	5.20	6.35	37.50
	313	5.48	6.35	37.80
60	298	5.19	4.83	33.62
	303	5.45	4.83	33.93
	308	5.75	4.83	34.35
	313	6.03	4.83	34.70

## References

1. M. M. Emara, H. A. Shehata and A. M. Wasfi, Pak. j. sci. ind. res., **30** (4), 254 (1987).
2. M. M. Emara, N. A. Farid and H. A. Shehata, First. Int. Conf. App. Sci., **4**, 1032 (1985).
3. M. M. Emara, H. A. Shehata, M. M. Bahr and S. H. Elnkhaily, Egypt. J. Appl. Sci., **2**, 925 (1987).
4. M. M. Emara, N. A. Farid, M. M. Bahr and H. A. Shehata, J. Ind. Chem. Soc., **62**, 744 (1985).
5. H. A. Shehata, R. A. Ali and A. S. Tourky, Egypt. J. Appl. Sci., **5** (4), 238 (1990).
6. H. A. Shehata, J. Ind. Chem. Soc., **70**, May (1993).
7. M. M. Emara, H. A. Shehata and S. H. Elnkhaily, J. Chinese Chem. Soc., **35** (5), 337 (1988).
8. M. M. Emara, N. A. Farid and H. A. Shehata, J. Ind. Chem. Soc., **64**, 119 (1987).
9. S. H. Elnkhaily, Ph. D. Thesis, Chemistry Department, Faculty of Science, Al-Azhar University (1990).
10. A. I. Vogel, *Practical Organic Chemistry*, E L B S (Ed) (Longman Group Limited, London, 1971).
11. N. A. Lange, *Handbook of Chemistry* (Gleveland, Ohio 1956).
12. R. M. Fuoss and K. L. Hasia, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci., U S, **57**, 1550 (1967).
13. A. D'Aprano, J. Phys. Chem., **75**, 3290 (1971).
14. J. C. Justice, J. Chim. Phys. Physice. Chem. Biol., **65**, 353 (1968).
15. J. F. Skimer and R. M. Fuoss, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., **86**, 3423 (1964).
16. R. M. Fuoss, *ibid*, **80**, 5059 (1958).
17. P. C. Carman, J. Phys. Chem., **74**, 1653 (1970).
18. T. E. Gruz, *Transport Phenomena in Aqueous Solutions* (Adam Hilger, London, 1974).
19. H. S. Frank and W. Wen, Discussion Faraday Soc., **24**, 133 (1959).
20. R. W. Gurney, *Ionic Processes in Solution* (McGraw Hill Book Co., New York, 1953).
21. A. D'Aprano and R. M. Fuoss, J. Phys. Chem., **67**, 1722 (1963).
22. A. D'Aprano and R. M. Fuoss, *ibid.*, **67**, 1704 (1963).
23. A. D'Aprano and R. Triolo, Ric. Sci., **34**(7), 433 (1964).