

## IMPROVEMENT IN EMULSIFYING NATURE OF A PLANT GUM BY CHEMICAL DERIVATIZATION. Part -I

R. K. AGRAWAL, ASHOK K. SHAKYA AND PRADEEP MISHRA

Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Doctor Harisingh Gour Vishwavidyalaya, Sagar (M.P.), 470 003, India

(Received April 8, 1991)

*Anogeissus latifolia* gum was chemically derivatised to obtain acetyl and methyl derivatives with different percentages of group content. They were quantitatively analysed and evaluated as o/w emulsifying agent. Methylated gum with maximum methyl content showed all the qualities desirable in a good emulsion formulation. It had the advantage over normally used emulsifiers like Span: Tween of imparting viscosity to the emulsion and allowing formulations of medicaments in it.

**Key words:** Plant gum, *Anogeissus latifolia*, Emulsifier.

### Introduction

Natural plant gum obtained from *Anogeissus latifolia* Wall (Family Combretaceae) is widely available in the central part of India. In admixture with gum Acacia it is sold as Ghatti gum in European markets [1]. Being non ionic in nature, plant gums stabilize the emulsions by forming multimolecular films at the interphase and also impart viscosity to the continuous phase [2].

These plant gums which have the inherent characteristics of viscosity builder, also provide us ample opportunity to introduce certain groups to get the desired emulsifier out of them.

Various ether and ester derivatives of cellulose, depending on kind and percentage of ether or ester linkage content, show varied hydrophilic and hydrophobic behaviour. They also exhibit different rheological characteristics [3].

Based on the above facts about derivatives of cellulose, methyl ether and acetate esters of the gum were prepared with varied percentage of group content. They were evaluated for emulsifying properties.

### Experimental

**Acetyl derivatives of gum.** These derivatives with different acetyl contents were prepared by Wilson and Hughes method [4] using acetic anhydride in pyridine as acetylating agent. The reaction conditions were standardized by three repeat experiments preparing acetyl derivatives with different acetyl contents under different reaction conditions (Table 1).

**Methoxyl derivatives of gum.** The methoxyl derivatives with lower methoxyl content were prepared by the direct methylation method of Wadman *et al.* [5]. The higher methoxyl content derivatives were prepared by indirect methylation method of Howarth *et al.* [6], which involved deacetylation and methylation of acetylated gum. A number of methoxyl derivatives were prepared under different reaction conditions

out of which three methoxyl derivatives were selected for final preparation by the direct methylation method. The reaction conditions for their preparation were standardized by three repeat experiments. Two methoxyl derivatives were prepared by the de-acetylation methylation method with higher methoxyl content (Table 2).

TABLE 1. PREPARATION OF ACETYL DERIVATIVES OF GUM.

S. No.	Code name of acetylated gum	Acetylation mixture	Reaction time (hrs.)	Precipitating solvent	Yield (gm)	Acetyl content (%)
1.	AcG1	50ml acetic anhydride +100 ml pyridine	6	Methanol	53	11.1
2.	AcG2	50ml acetic anhydride +100 ml pyridine	12	Methanol	67	17.7
3.	AcG3	100 ml acetic anhydride +200 ml pyridine	6	Distilled water	76	29.5

- In each case 50 g gum was swollen with 600 ml of dimethylformamide.  
- In each case the reaction was carried out at 80 ± 5°.

TABLE 2. PREPARATION OF METHOXY DERIVATIVES OF GUM.

S. No.	Code name of methyld gum	Swelling agent (Aq. sodium hydroxide) (w/v)	Reaction time (hrs)	Volume dimethyl sulphate (ml)	Yield (gm)	%OCH <sub>3</sub> content
1.	MG1	20% 200 ml	3	100	42	6.54
2.	MG2	30% 200 ml	3	200	48	13.03
3.	MG3	40% 300 ml	3	300	52	19.62
4.	MG4*	40% 750 ml	6	400	48	32.65
5.	MG5*	40% 1000 ml	6	600	53	36.39

- In each case 50 g gum was used. The reaction was carried out at 70 ± 5°.  
- Fully acetylated gum was taken in 500 ml Dioxane and reaction was carried out in nitrogen.



### Discussion

The pourability and redispersibility studies were done after 4 weeks of aging. Pourability was poor with acetyl derivatives of gum which formed redispersible flocculates. The methoxy derivatives of gum and span: Tween combination emulsions showed very good pouring characteristics. Redispersibility was quite satisfactory with methoxy derivatives of gum and Span: Tween combination. Acacia, gum and acetyl derivatives (AcG1 and AcG2) gave poor redispersible emulsions. Poor pourability and redispersibility

in such emulsions may be attributed to the swelling nature of them.

The effect of aging on viscosity after 7, 15 and 30 days was studied. Emulsions made with gum had higher viscosity than that made with Acacia. All the emulsions with methoxy and acetyl derivatives showed lower viscosity than emulsions with gum and it changed very slightly on deeping for one month. It was a good sign for better emulsifying nature. Span: Tween emulsions had quite low viscosity. MG5 with highest methoxy content, gave emulsion, viscosity which remained unchanged under the period of study. It imparted good nonpolar nature to the gum and retained or even improved other characteristics of gum as emulsifying agent, like pourability and re-dispersibility.

It was observed that with increase in methoxy content, the methoxy derivatives showed successively higher percentage of reducing size of oil globules. On storage, neither the globule size distributions nor viscosities were affected appreciably.

The acetyl derivatives showed varied effects. As acetyl content increased the nonpolar nature increased with reduction in polar nature. Hence AcG2 which had intermediate polarity could provide better emulsions. It had the highest creaming ratio. So far globule size distribution was concerned, it could not compete with MG5. All these emulsifying agents proved overall better than Acacia and the gum under study. The results are comparable with Span: Tween emulsions. These emulsions have added quality of higher viscosity which may be helpful in compounding medicinal agents in them.

TABLE 5. EFFECT OF AGING ON VISCOSITIES OF EMULSIONS PREPARED WITH THE GUM, ITS DERIVATIVES AND OTHER EMULSIFYING AGENTS.

S.No.	Emulsifying agents	Viscosities in centipoises at room temp. after days			
		1	7	15	30
1.	Acacia	3.5	3.5	4.0	4.0
2.	Gum	7.5	7.0	6.5	6.5
3.	MG1	2.5	2.5	2.0	2.0
4.	MG2	8.5	8.0	8.0	7.5
5.	MG3	4.5	4.5	4.0	3.5
6.	MG4	3.5	3.0	2.5	2.5
7.	MG5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
8.	AcG1	3.0	3.0	2.5	2.5
9.	AcG2	2.0	2.5	2.5	2.5
10.	AcG3	2.0	2.5	2.5	2.5
11.	Span 20 I Tween 80	1.5	2.0	2.0	2.0
12.	Span 20 II Tween 80	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5

TABLE 6. EFFECT OF AGING ON GLOBULE SIZE DISTRIBUTION OF EMULSIONS PREPARED WITH THE GUM, ITS DERIVATIVES AND OTHER EMULSIFYING AGENTS.

S. No.	Emulsifying agent	Globule size in microns											
		0.5 - 1		1 - 2		2 - 3		3 - 4		4 - 5		5 - 8	
		I*	II*	I	II	I	II	I	II	I	II	I	II
1.	Acacia	87	60	7.0	30	3.0	7.0	2.0	2.0	0.6	0.6	0.4	0.4
2.	Gum	75	70	16.0	14	6.0	11.0	2.8	4.0	0.2	0.7	0.0	0.0
3.	MG1	79	72	19.0	15	1.5	8.0	0.5	3.0	0.0	1.5	0.0	0.5
4.	MG2	88	78	10.0	15	1.5	4.5	0.3	1.5	0.2	0.7	0.0	0.3
5.	MG3	89	82	6.0	12	4.0	4.5	0.5	1.0	0.3	0.4	0.2	0.1
6.	MG4	91	85	6.0	13	2.5	1.5	0.3	0.4	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0
7.	MG5	97	94	2.0	4	0.6	1.0	0.3	0.4	0.1	0.4	0.0	0.2
8.	AcG1	77	72	21.0	11	1.5	10.0	0.5	6.0	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.6
9.	AcG2	80	73	17.0	22	2.0	4.0	1.0	0.6	0.0	0.4	-	-
10.	AcG3	58	45	28.0	20	10.0	28.0	2.0	3.0	1.0	2.0	1.0	2.0
11.	Span 20 I Tween 80	98	88	1.5	10	0.5	1.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0
12.	Span 20 II	92	83	5.0	14	2.0	2.0	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.5	0.2	0.0

\*I - Initial; II\* - After 28 days.

**Acknowledgements.** The authors are thankful to Prof. N. K. Jain, Head, Dept. of Pharm. Sci., Dr. H. S. Gour Vishwavidyalaya, Sagar (M.P.) for providing necessary facilities.

#### References

1. J. Fleischer, In *Industrial Gums* edited by R. L. Whistler and J. N. BeMiller (Academic Press, New York, 1965), pp. 313.
2. P. Becher, *Non-ionic Surfactants* edited by M. J. Schick (Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1967), Vol. I, pp. 606.
3. E. F. E. Heuser, *The Chemistry of Cellulose* (John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Chapman Hall Ltd., London, 1946), pp. 400.
4. H. N. Wilson and I. W. Hughes, *J. Soc. Chem. Ind.*, **58**, 774 (1939).
5. W. H. Wadman, A. B. Anderson and W. A. Hassid, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **76**, 4097 (1954).
6. W. N. Howarth, E. L. Hirst, L. N. Owen, S. Peat and F.J. Averill, *J. Chem. Soc.*, 1939, Part II (1985).
7. The United States Pharmacopoeia, National Formulary U.S.P. XXI, N.F., XVI (1985), pp. 1547.
8. C. A. Brown and F. W. Zerban, *Physical and Chemical Methods of Sugar Analysis* (John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1955), 3rd ed., pp. 945.
9. J. W. Cooper and C. Gunn, *Dispensing for Pharmaceutical Studies* (Filwan Medical Publishing Co., London, 1987), 12th ed., pp. 141.