

SEED-BORNE FUNGAL PATHOGENS OF MAIZE IN PAKISTAN

Syed Irfan Ahmed and A. Rauf Bhutta

Federal Seed Certification Department, G-9/4, Islamabad

(Received November 7, 1988; revised February 14, 1989)

Most fungal pathogens of maize are seed-borne and cause rotting and discolouration of corn seed. In view of the importance of the maize seed and maize based starch industries, studies of the seed-borne fungal pathogens of maize were initiated in 1985-86 by the Federal Seed Certification Department, Islamabad. Fifty seven seed lots were tested by blotter paper method during 1985-86. Seven seed-borne pathogens were recorded. The incidence of seed-borne fungal pathogens varied in individual cultivars in different years. *Fusarium moniliforme* was recorded in all the seed lots at percentage up to 98.5%. *Cephalosporium acremonium*, *Drechslera maydis* and *Fusarium semitectum* were found in proportions of 14.0, 6.0 and 11.0 percent in the varieties, Akbar, Neelum and Sarhad white respectively. Other pathogens such as *Fusarium equiseti*; *F. oxysporum*, *Macrophomina phaseolina* and *Nigrospora oryzae* were recorded at low incidence (2.5%). For establishing disease tolerances in Pakistan, a study of the biology of the pathogens is needed.

Key words: Seed-borne pathogens, Blotter paper method, Maize.

INTRODUCTION

Maize (*Zea mays L.*) is used for fodder, food and industrial use. The maize production area during 1987 was 0.8 million hectares with annual production of one million metric tons [3].

Despite the development of high yielding maize cultivars and improved hybrid maize seed, diseases still significantly reduce maize crop yield. Numerous pathogenic fungi, bacteria and viruses infect maize, causing combined world wide annual yield losses of 9.4% [4]. Hafiz [1] reported 33 fungal, three bacterial and five viral diseases of the maize crop. He considered stalk rots, *Helminthosporium* blight, smut, seed rots and seedling blight followed by kernel and ear rots as the most economically significant diseases of maize in Pakistan while rust, downy mildews and leaf spots are of minor importance. Studies of Hassan [7] showed that *Aspergillus niger*, *Penicillium corylophilum*, *Helminthosporium turcicum*, *Fusarium oxysporum*, *Mucor strictus*, *Sclerotium sp.* and *Nigrospora oryzae* were associated with rotting and discolouration of maize seed. Recently, Akhtar and Ali [2] isolated 14 species of fungi from seeds of selected maize cultivars. *Fusarium moniliforme* was isolated from all samples studied.

Because of the number of seed-borne fungi occurring on maize seed and their ultimate effect on seed germination and crop yields Federal Seed Certification Department initiated maize seed health testing in 1985 to facilitate advising seed agencies and appropriate disease control measures. The results of 57 maize seed lots tested for seed-borne

fungi during 1985-88 by standard technique (blotter methods) are presented.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Collection of samples. Fifty seven seed samples of six maize cultivars were collected from Punjab and NWFP maize growing areas of Pakistan through the Federal Seed Certification Department's laboratories network. In 57 seed samples, 10 were tested in 1985-88 at the Danish Government Institute of Seed Pathology, Copenhagen, and 47 were tested in 1986-87 and 1987-88 at Central Seed Health Laboratory of the Federal Seed Certification Department, Islamabad.

Detection of fungi by the blotter method. The standard blotter method as recommended by ISTA [5] was used for the detection of seed-borne fungi. Four hundred seeds were used for each sample. In each petri dish, ten seeds were plated. The plates were incubated for eight days at 20° (± 2°) under an alternating cycle of 12 hours days and nights using fluorescent light. Seeds were examined under the stereoscopic microscope and fungal species were confirmed with the aid of a compound microscope.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of 57 maize seed lots tested in 1985-86, 1986-87 and 1987-88 are presented in Table-1. Infection percentage ranges are given only for those fungi which have been reported as pathogen on maize [6].

Table 1. Percentage incidence and range of seed-borne pathogens recorded in different cultivars of maize seed lots during 1985-88.

Testing period	Pathogens	Cultivars					Remarks	
		Akbar	Neelum	Sultan	Ahsan	Azam		Sarhad white
1985-86	<i>Cephalosporium acremonium</i>	1.5-10.5	3.0-12.0	—	—	—	Seed sample tested at DGISP, Copenhagen.	
	<i>Drechslera maydis</i>	1.0-2.0	0.5-6.0	—	—	—		
	<i>Fusarium equiseti</i>	2.5-3.0	1.5	—	—	—		
	<i>F. moniliforme</i>	18.0-98.5	52.0-95.5	—	—	—		
	<i>F. semitectum</i>	1.0-8.0	0.5-2.5	—	—	—		
	<i>Nigrospora oryzae</i>	1.5	0.5-1.5	—	—	—		
1986-87	<i>Cephalosporium acremonium</i>	1.5-14.0	0.5	0.5-4.0	2.5	1.5	0.5-7.0	Seed sample tested at Central Seed Health Laboratory, FSCD, Islamabad.
	<i>Drechslera maydis</i>	0.5-1.5	0.0	1.0-1.5	0.0	0.0	0.5-1.0	
	<i>F. moniliforme</i>	5.0-14.5	7.5	4.5-27.0	2.5	9.5	5.5-21.0	
	<i>F. oxysporum</i>	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	<i>F. semitectum</i>	0.5-3.0	0.5	0.5-2.0	0.5	0.0	2.5-11.0	
	<i>Macrophomina phaseolina</i>	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	<i>Nigrospora oryzae</i>	0.0	0.0	1.5	0.0	0.0	0.5	
1987-88	<i>Cephalosporium acremonium</i>	—	1.0	2.0	0.5	0.0	0.5-2.0	
	<i>Drechslera maydis</i>	—	1.5-5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	
	<i>F. moniliforme</i>	—	0.5-4.5	3.5	5.0	2.0	2.0-21.0	
	<i>F. semitectum</i>	—	0.5	1.5	0.0	0.0	0.5	

Note: (—) Samples were not available.

Fusarium moniliforme shed. was observed in all 57 seed lots at high level. Maximum percentages recorded were 98.5, 27.0 and 21.0 on cultivars Akbar, Sultan and Sarhad white respectively during the 3 year period. The minimum incidence of *F. moniliforme* was 0.5, 2.0 and 2.5 on varieties Neelum, Azam and Ahsan respectively. Studies

carried out at Crop Diseases Research Institute, Islamabad, indicated that *Fusarium moniliforme*, *F. graminearum* and *Macrophomina phaseolina* were found to be most destructive causing agents of stalk rot, and reduced yield up to 20% in combinations of pathogens [9]. *Macrophomina phaseolina* (Tassi) G. Goid. was also recorded in this study but in low percentage (0.5) in one sample of the cultivar Sultan from D.I. Khan in 1986-87. *Cephalosporium acremonium* Corda. was found in almost all seed lots with a maximum incidence of 14% on variety Akbar in 1986-87 from Sahiwal areas. This is not an important pathogen in Pakistan but it can cause infections in combinations with other micro-organisms. Shurtleff [4] indicated that this fungus has a wide host range including maize, sorghum, soybean, cotton, wheat and oats.

Drechslera maydis (Nisik) Subram and Jain. occurred at incidence of 5.0% and 6.0% on variety Neelum in 1985-86 and 1987-88 respectively, while its incidence in other cultivars was below 2.0%. Cassini and Cotti [8] reported that *Drechslera maydis* along with *Fusarium* and *Diplodia* spp. caused seed rots and seedling blight. They also reported that *Nigrospora oryzae* can cause serious ear or kernel rot in the presence of these pathogens under favourable crop conditions. In this study, the *Nigrospora oryzae* (Berk.

and Br.) patch. ranged from 0.5 to 1.5 percent on variety Akbar, Neelum, Sultan and Sarhad white.

In view of the high incidence of *Fusarium moniliforme*, *Cephalosporium acremonium* and *Drechslera maydis* in combinations with other important pathogens, infected seed lots must not be planted for seed production. These pathogens can cause epidemics in susceptible cultivars under conducive environmental conditions. Effective control of these seed-borne pathogens require establishment of tolerance limits in a seed certification programme and seed treatment must be advised at the level of pre-basic and basic seed in Pakistan.

REFERENCES

1. A. Hafiz, *Plant Diseases* (Pakistan Agricultural Research Council, Islamabad (1986) pp. 93-102.
2. A.S. Akhtar and S. Ali, *Ann. Rep. of Plant Pathology Section, AARI, Faisalabad* (1985).
3. Anonymous, *Economic Survey of Pakistan, Islamabad* (1986-87).
4. C.M. Shurtleff, *Compendium of Corn Diseases* (American Phytopathological Society St. Paul, Minnesota, 55121, 1980), pp. 105.
5. International Rules of Seed Testing, *Proc. Int. Seed Test Assoc.* (1976), pp. 413-449.
6. M.J. Richardson, *An annotated List of Seed-borne Diseases* (Int. Seed Test. Assoc. Zurich, Switzerland,

- 1979), 3rd ed., pp. 320.
7. N. Hassan, M.Sc. Thesis of Department of Plant Pathology, West Pak. Agric. Univ., Lyallpur (1967), (unpublished).
8. R. Cassini and T. Cotti, Maize Technical Monograph (CIBA Geigy, Agrochemical, 1979, pp. 105.
9. Y. Ahmed and M. Aslam, Proc. 2nd Nat. Conf. of P1. Scientists (1984), pp. 53-54.

(Received May 3, 1988; revised February 4, 1989)

Atomic Energy Agricultural Research Centre, Tando Jam

S. M. Aslam, S. Z. M. Nadevi and A. K. Azmi

A glasshouse experiment in gravel beds, was conducted to evaluate the response of tomato cultivars to varying levels of salinity. Three tomato cultivars 'Manglobe', 'Mamanda' and 'Roma VF' were grown at four salinity levels. The gravel bed was salinized prior to transplanting by irrigating with tap water supplemented with NaCl and NaHCO₃. Salinity concentrations of irrigation water were control (0.8, 63.14, 97.05 and 132.23 m mol⁻¹). The fruit yield, number of fruit and weight per fruit of all the three tomato cultivars were significantly decreased with the increase in salinity levels. Concentrations of Na, K and Ca increased in plant leaves with increasing salinity, whereas the concentrations of P, Ca, Fe and Mn decreased.

Key words: Salt stress, Tomato, Plant nutrients.

INTRODUCTION

Tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum*) is one of the most important vegetable crops grown in Sind. Much of the tomato crop is grown in open fields generally in winter season. In most of the areas, salinity problems already exist because the fields soils contain high amount of soluble salts, mainly chlorides and sulphates. This problem is grown year by year due to the low rainfall, lack of drainage system and the ever growing demand of water for agriculture.

Shortage of good quality water for irrigation has forced mankind to look into the possibility of using water which heretofore have been considered unusable for irrigation. Recent work in the field of plant physiology has pointed out the utility of underground saline water for growing salt tolerant plants on sandy deserts.

Sandy deserts constitute a great part of this desert. Good quality irrigation water is limited in these areas and subsoil water is generally brackish. With intelligent management these vast resources can however, be utilized for cultivation. Sand provides an excellent medium for plant percolation of water and can serve as a medium for plant support. The short contact of saline water with plant root generally avoids ion injury to some extent and with proper chemical amendments this injurious effect could further be minimized allowing better growth.

Salt tolerance of tomato has been widely studied through out the world [1-4]. Summarizing this information, Mass and Hoffman [5], classified this species as moderately sensitive (M2) with a 50% yield reduction at an electrical conductivity of 7.5 dS/m in the saturation extract. In recent past, native tomato cultivars have been

replaced by new tomato hybrids imported from Holland which are characterized by higher yields and resistance to diseases. Due to the importance of this crop, and lack of information about the behaviour of these new tomato hybrids under saline conditions, a study was carried out to evaluate the effect of saline irrigation water on yield and leaf mineral composition of three tomato cultivars 'Manglobe', 'Mamanda' and 'Roma VF', grown in gravel material (collected from Umkerot, district Tharparkar, Sind) under glasshouse conditions.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Water of the tube-well of A.F.A.R.C. Tando Jam (Table 1) was amended with Hoagland nutrient solution to give nutrient wise balanced irrigation water covering four levels of salinity treatments i.e. 41.80, 63.14, 97.05 and 132.23 mmol⁻¹ (Table 2) equivalent to 1.92, 3.13, 4.59 and 6.52 mS/cm of electrical conductivity of irrigation water. The salinity levels were made up by using commercial grade salts of NaCl, NaHCO₃, CaSO₄, MgSO₄, KNO₃, NH₄NO₃, single superphosphate (SSP) and supplemented with essential micronutrients: Mn 0.008, Zn 0.0013, Cu 0.0008, Mo 0.0003 and Fe 0.09 mmol⁻¹. The nutrient solution with each salinity level was stored in a separate tank.

Table 1. Ionic composition of tube-well water (in mol⁻¹).

Salinity (m mol ⁻¹)	Na ⁺	Ca ⁺⁺	Mg ⁺⁺	HCO ₃ ⁻	Cl ⁻	S ²⁻	P	CO ₃ ²⁻
11.68	3.91	1.50	2.08	2.37	1.68	0.11	0.02	-