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Comparative accounts of alimentary organs and salivary glands of Antilochus sp., Dysdercus koenigii (Fabr.), Pyrrhocoris apterus (Linn.) and Scantius sp., are given and compared in a tabular form with those of other pyrrhocoroids reported in the literature.

Key words: Comparative morphology, Alimentary structures, Pyrrhocoroidea.

## INTRODUCTION

Except for the classical work of Miyamoto [1] that treated three species of Pyrrhocoroidea, and an incomplete study of Dindymus sp., by Kumar [2], the comparative morphology of the alimentary organs and salivary glands of the Pyrrhocoroidea found in the Indo-Pakistan Subcontinent has largely been ignored.

Mohammad et al. [3] and Ahmad and Mohammad [4] recently emphasized the importance of male and female reproductive organs in ascertaining the phylogenetic position of many groups within Pyrrhocoridae. More recently, Ahmad et al. [5] studied variations in the alimentary organs in different macropterous and brachypterous forms of Pyrrhocoris apterus Linn., in relation to host plants and observed that significant differences occurred in the various races. Otherwise, these aspects have largely remained unstudied in the literature.

Therefore, in this paper, the alimentary organs, including salivary glands, of Antilochus sp., Dysdercus koenigii (Fabr.), P. apterus (Linn.) and Scantius sp., are studied and compared with those given in the literature and the differences noted in Tables 1 and 2.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Living adults studied at the Karachi University Zoological laboratory were collected from the following localities and hosts: Karachi University Campus on Thespesis populnea L. and Withenia somnifera L., from Hasan Abdal and Lahore on wild grass and from Sariab (Baluchistan) on Althaea rosea (L.) Cav. and Foeniculum vulgare Miller. Dissections and illustrations were made using procedures

[^0]especially those documented by the present authors [4,5].

## RESULTS

General morphological aspects of pyrrhocorid alimentary organs. The alimentary organs include the alimentary canal and a pair of salivary glands which open through long, principal salivary ducts independently into the salivary pump. The principal salivary glands are divided into four main lobes, the anterior being short or long, the dorsal small; and the posterior lobe divided into two or many lobules of different shape and size. The principal salivary duct is short or long, wide or narrow, and usually convolute. The accessory gland is usually long and tubular.

The alimentary canal is comprised of a short or long oesophagus, with or without a terminal bulbous portion; a midgut with four subdivisions $\mathrm{m}-1$ or the stomach usually large and elongate; $m-2$, remarkably long or of moderate length and of different shapes; m-3, or bladder, position beneath the end of $\mathrm{m}-1$; and the posterior tubular portion or $m-4$, with or without gastric caeca of variable numbers. The pylorus, appears like the anterior prolongation of the posteriorly located bladder-like sacular rectum. The pylorus also appears embedded into the dorso-posterior region of the capacious $\mathrm{m}-1$, and is divided into lateral globular lobes and from its either side arise two pairs of extremely convoluted, coiled and elongate malpighian tubules. The rectum tapers posteriorly and opens to the exterior through the anus.

Comparative accounts of the salivary glands (Table 1) and alimentary structures (Table 2) for Antilochus sp., D. koenigii, P. apterus and Scantius sp. (Pyrrhocoridae) studied presently and for D. decussatus (Boisduval), Dindymus sp. and P. tibialis (Stal) (Pyrrhocoridae) and for Physopelta cincticollis (Stal) and Largus succinctus (L.) (Largidae) reported in the literature are outlined.


Figs. 14. Alimentary canals and salivary glands dorsal view.

1. Antilochus coqueberti (Fabr.)
2. Dysdercus koenigii (Fabr.) 3. Pyrrhocoris apterus (Linn.);
3. Scantius distanti Ahmad and Zaidi

Kety to the letterings

| ag | accessory salivary gland | $\mathrm{mg}-3$ | midgut-3 | pl | posterior lobe |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| al | anterior lobe | $\mathrm{mg}-4$ | midgut-4 | pyl | pylorus |
| dl | dorsal lobe | mt | malpighian tubules | rec | rectum |
| mg-1 | midgut-1 | oes | oesophagus | vl | ventral lobe |
| mg-2 | midgut-2 | pd | principal salivary duct |  |  |

## DISCUSSION

Miyamoto [1] noted similarities in the salivary glands and pylorus of several representatives of Largidae and Pyrrhocoridae. However, he noted that the Physopeltinae of the Largidae differs from Pyrrhocoridae, in having a ventral diverticulum in the rectum. It should be noted that Gerhardt [6] did not report this ventral diverticulum in the rectum of $L$. succinctus (sic. cinctus) a member of the subfamily Larginae of Largidae. It could well be that the structure occurs only in the Physopeltinae and separates it not only from Pyrrhocoridae but also from largines. In largids in the oesophagus terminal bulbous portion is
absent but in all pyrrhocorids known to date the terminal bulbous portion is present.

Larginae (L. succinctus) also differ from those of Physopeltinae ( $P$. cincticollis) in the posterior lobe of their salivary gland which divides along entire margin, has a much shorter and slightly swollen midgut-2 and a large, broadly oval rectum very slightly tapering posteriorly, in contrast to the posterior lobe of salivary glands divided into many lobules along outer margin only, remarkably large tubular midgut-2 and a pear-shaped gradually tapering rectum in Physopeltinae. The principal salivary duct in physopeltines, is long and distinctly wavy near principal salivary glands in contrast to short, narrow and straight

Table 1. Comparative accounts of salivary glands

|  | Anterior lobe | Posterior lobe | Principal salivary <br> duct | Accessory gland | Accessory salivary <br> duct |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Physopelta <br> cincticollis <br> (Stal) | Tubular, nearly $1 / 2$ <br> length of posterior lobe | Elongately oval, sub- <br> divided into many <br> lobules along outer <br> margin only. | Long, distinctly <br> wavy near principal <br> gland | Very long, tubular |  | | Remarkably long, |
| :--- |
| narrow, with a short |
| and wide portion along |
| recurrent part only. |

Table 2. Comparative account of alimentary organs.

| Oesophagus | Midgut ${ }_{1}$ | Midgut ${ }_{2}$ | Midgut 3 | Midgut 4 | Pylorus | Rectum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P. cincticollis Without terminal Miyamoto [1] bulbous portion | Anterior and posterior portions, pearshaped | Remarkably large, tubular | Bladder like | Tubular, with 2 rows of long, finger-like, gastric caeca, increasing towards hind part. | Small | Pearshaped, gradually tapering posteriorly, with ventral diverticulum. |

(Continued. . . . . . .)
(Table 2, continued)

| L. succinctus Gerhardt [6] | Without terminal bulbous portion | Anterior slightly swollen, posterior sac-like | Short, globular | Narrow, | With 2 rows of short uniform gastriccaeca | Large | Broadly oval, very slightly tapering posteriorly. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Antilochus sp. <br> (Fig. 1) | With distinct terminal bulbous portion | Anterior and posterior portions bladderlike | Long and narrow, medially swollen | Tubular | Without gastric caeca | Small and narrow | Spherical, slightly tapering posteriorly |
| Dyndymus <br> sp . <br> (Kumar [2] | With distinct terminal bulbous portion | Anteriorly <br> tubular, posterior <br> portion much swollen | Spherical | Moderate, tubular | without gastric caeca | Wide | Oval, very slightly tapering posteriorly. |
| Desdercus <br> decussatus <br> Boisduval <br> (Miyamoto, <br> [1] | With distinct terminal bulbous portion | Anterior tubular, swollen | Long, tubular, anteriorly narrow | Spherical | Small, slender, only female with 3 rows of short, uniform gastric caeca on hinder part | Moderate, globular | Anteriorly <br> spherical, abruptly tapering posteriorly |
| D. koenigii (Fig. 2) | With distinct terminal bulbous portion | Anterior tubular, posteriorly baloon-shaped | Short, tubular, posteriorly | Globular | Small, slender, only female with 3 rows of short uniform gastric caeca | Moderate, globular | Anteriorly much spherical, abruptly tapering posteriorly |
| P. apterus (Fig. 3) | Short, with distinct terminal bulbous portion | Anterior to posterior barrelshaped, posteriorly constricted | Long, tubular, medially swollen | Large, pearshaped | Short, thin and tubular, only female With 7 rows of gastric caeca | Moderate, medially constricted | Large, anteriorly much swollen Gradually tapering posteriorly. |
| $P$. <br> tibialis <br> (Stal) <br> (Miyamoto] <br> [1] | With a distinct terminal bulbous portion | Anterior to posterior saclike | Short, tubular throughout | Bladder-shped | Short, wide and tubular, female with 7 rows of gastric caeca | Globular | Broad, abruptly tapering posteriorly. |
| Scantius <br> sp. <br> (Fig. 4) | With indistinct terminal bulbous portion | Sac-like, posterior slightly more swollen | Length moderate, not oval, of uniform width, anteriorly and posteriorly coneshaped, much narrowed. | Oval | Short, tubular and with out gastric caeca | Large and wide | Sac-like, immediately tapering posteriorly |

principal salivary duct in largines.
Within the family Pyrrhocoridae between Dysdercus and Pyrrhocoris groups [7] the former represented by Antilochus sp., Dindymus sp. and those of Dysdercus spp. have principal salivary duct usually long and wavy in comparison
to short and almost straight duct in those of Pyrrhocoris group represented by Pyrrhocoris spp. and Scantius spp. In Dysdercus group the m-4 region in non carnivorous species e.g. Dysdercus spp . are with 3 rows of gastric caeca in females in contrast to those of Pyrrhocoris group e.g.

Pyrrhocoris spp. with 7 rows of gastric caeca in females. In both the groups the carnivorous species e.g. Antilochus sp., Dindymus sp. and Scantitus sp. the gastric caeca are absent in both sexes, similar to those reported in other Trichophora [8] of carnivorous habits.

## REFERENCES

1. S. Miyamoto, Sieboldia 2, 197, figs, 56-59 (1961).
2. P. Kumar, Ent. Mon. Mag., 103, 251 (1968).
3. F.A. Mohammad and I. Ahmad, Bull. Zool. (Peshawar

Univ.), 3 (in press) (1988).
4. I. Ahmad and F.A. Mohammad, Pakistan J. Sci. Ind. Res., 30, 691 (1987).
5. I. Ahmad et al., Sarhad J. Agr., 3, 85 (1987).
6. P.D. Gerhardt, Univ. California Master's degree thesis (unpublished) (1940).
7. I. Ahmad and N. Abbas, Turk. Bit. Kri. Kor. Derg., 10, 67 (1986).
8. I. Ahmad and S.A. Khan, Bull. Inst. r. Sci. nat. Belg., 49, 1 (1973).


[^0]:    *Financially supported by a PARC/USDA research Project No. FG-Pa-361 (PK-SEA-155).
    **Pakistan Museum of Natural History, Islamabad.

