EFFECTS OF INORGANIC SALTS ON THE COPOLYMERIZATION OF VINYL AND ALLYL MONOMERS

Part-IV. Copolymerization of Acrylonitrile and Allyl Alcohol in the Presence of Some Inorganic Salts

S.A.K. Lodhi* and A. Rasheed Khan

PCSIR Laboratories, Off University Road, Karachi 39, Pakistan

(Received December 23, 1981)

The thermal copolymerization of acrylonitrile and allyl alcohol in the presence of some inorganic salts like $COC1_2$, $SrC1_2$, $MnC1_2$, $PtC1_6$ and $NiC1_2$ without using any radical initiator was investigated. The accelerating effect of these salts was observed. The complexation in the case of acrylonitrile takes place through nitrile group of the monomer whereas in the case of allyl alcohol complex formation occurs on allylic group of the monomer. The inorganic salts act as chain transfer agents and do not appreciably change the reactivity ratios values of the monomers. The copolymerization mechanism is also discussed.

INTRODUCTION

In our earlier publication, [1] the accelerating effects of $ZnCl_2$, $CrCl_3$, $HgCl_2$ and $CdCl_2$ on the copolymerization of acrylonitrile and allyl alcohol were reported. The acceleration in rate of copolymerization takes place due to the complexation of inorganic salt with the monomers. The accelerating effect of some selected inorganic salts on the copolymerization of acrylonitrile and allyl alcohol was also oberved.

This paper deals with the effect of some inorganic salts on the copolymerization of acrylonitrile and allyl alcohol without using any radical initiator. The salts are $MnC1_2$, $PtC1_6$, $NiC1_2$, $SrC1_2$ and $CoC1_2$.

EXPERIMENTAL

Materials

1. Acrylonitrile (BDH), allyl alcohol (E.Merck), laboratory grade dimethyl formamide, acetone and methanol were purified by following the known procedures. G.R. grade HCI of E.Merck was used without further purification.

2. All inorganic salts given as under were used without further purification.

Extra pure manganese chloride (MnCl₂. 4H₂O)

strontium chloride $(SrCl_2, 6H_2O)$ and cobalt chloride $(CoCl_2, 6H_2O)$ of E. Merck, laboratory grade chloroplatinic acid $(H_2, PtCl_6, H_2O)$ and laboratory grade nickel chloride $(NiCl_2, 6H_2O)$.

Method of Copolymerization: All the copolymerization reactions were performed in sealed tubes. The weighed amount of acrylonitrile, allyl alcohol were added to the reaction tube already containing $2x10^{-3}$ mole inorganic salt. The reaction tubes were sealed at the constrication and heated at 100° for an hour. A solid material was resulted. Acetone-methanol was the solvent-precipitant system for the copolymerization carried out in the absence of salt whereas for the copolymer prepared in the presence of salt it was acetone-methanol system containing HCl. The copolymer was then investigated by ash determination not to contain salt. All copolymer sample were analysed for nitrogen by Kjehldahl's method. The copolymer samples are white powdery substance and soluble in acetone and DMF. The colour of all samples turns brown at 155° and decomposes at above 200° with the formation of insoluble black material. IR spectra of all samples were also recorded.

Nitrile band in the spectra appears at 2200-2300 cm⁻¹ wave number and -OH absorption band appears at 3330- 3570 cm^{-1} wave number.

The number average molecular weight of all copolymer samples was measured at 25° using Ostwarld's type visco-

^{*}Present Address: Department of Chemistry, University of Baluchistan, Quetta, Pakistan.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The detailed results of the effects of inorganic salts on the copolymerization of AN and AA are summarized in Tables 1-6.

The estimation of prepared sample, of polyacrylonitrile gave 26.2% nitrogen whereas the resulting product contains 21.34-25.58% nitrogen. This difference in percentage of nitrogen may be due to the addition of allyl alcohol in the growing polymer chain of polyacrylonitrile. It suggests that the product prepared in the absence or presence of salt is a copolymer. Further each sample was found soluble in DMF and acetone while polyacrylonitrile is not soluble in acetone. I R spectral studies also support the assumption that the product is a copolymer. The spectra of polyacrylonitrile and polyallyl alcohol were compared with the spectra of the copolymer prepared in the presence of each salt. The presence of nitrile band at 2200-2300 cm⁻¹ wave number and -OH group absorption band at 3330-3570, wave number in I R spectra of copolymer prepared clearly indicates that AN and AA form a copolymer.

Effects of inorganic salt on the copolymerization of AN and AA are condensed in Table 1-6. These results show the acceleration effect of $MnCl_2$, $SrCl_2$, $PtCl_6$ and $NiCl_2$ on the copolymerization of AN and AA. The acceleration in rate may be due to the formation of complexes with either monomers. Amongst the above mentioned inorganic salts $PtCl_6$ and $CoCl_2$ are soluble in AN and form complex ($An - - - M^x n$) with salt. The salts like $SrCl_2$, $MnCl_2$ and $NiCl_2$ are not soluble in the monomer and do not form complex with the monomer [2-4]. Since in IR spectra

Table 1. Copolymerization of acrylonitrile and allyl alcohol in the absence of salt at 100° .

Expt. No.	Monomer in feed		Weight of copolymer	Analysis N%	Rate of polymerization. Rp x 10^5 moles/1/Sec.	
	AN g	AA g	obtained. g		AN	AA
1.	4.75	0.25	0.073	25.46	5.9290	0.2030
2.	4.25	0.75	0.065	23.44	4.9050	0.5710
3.	4.00	1.00	0.061	22.44	4.3880	0.7120
4.	3.75	1.25	0.060	21.70	4.1940	0.8330

Table $\cdot 2$.Copolymerization of acrylonitrile and allyl alcohol in the presence of CoCl₂ at 100^o.

Expt. No.	Monomer in feed		Weight of copolymer	Analysis N%	Rate of polymerization $Rp \times 10^5$ moles/1/Sec.	
	AN	AA	obtained.			
	g	g	g		AN	AA
1.	4.75	0.25	0.057	25.58	4.6100	0.1386
2.	4.25	0.75	0.072	23.25	5.3110	0.6630
3.	4.00	1.00	0.064	22.12	4.5590	0.8050
4.	3.75	1.25	0.060	21.50	4,1830	0.8680

S.A. K. Lodhi and A. R. Khan

			in the presence of Mir	c12 at 100 .		
Expt. No.	Monomer in feed		Weight of	Analysis	Rate of polymerization	
	AN	AA	obtained.	1470	RP X 10 moles/1/ sec	
	g	g	g		AN	AA
1	4 75	0.25	0.128	25.29	10 2220	0.2850
2.	4.25	0.75	0.114	23.38	8 4490	1.0720
3.	4.00	1.00	0.110	22.10	7.7920	1.3930
4.	3.75	1.25	0.098	21.34	6.7360	1.4650
		A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR OFTA CONTRACTOR O			and the state of the second	

Table 3. Copolymerization of acrylonitrile and allyl alcoholin the presence of MnCl₂ at 100°.

Table 14.Copolymerization of acrylonitrile and allyl alcohol in the presence of NiCl₂ at 100° .

Expt. No.	Monomer in feed		Weight of	Analysis N%	Rate of polymerization $Rp \times 10^5$ moles/1/Sec.	
	AN	AA	obtained.		AN	AA
-						
1.	4.75	0.25	0.474	25.18	38.0930	1.7080
2.	4.25	0.75	0.440	23.66	33.2620	3.5390
3.	4.00	1.00	0.414	22.78	30.2290	4.4080
4	3 75	1.25	0.388	21.83	27.2820	5.2360

Table 5. Copolymerization of acrylonitrile and allyl alcohol in the presence of PtCl₆ at 100⁰:

Expt.	Monomer in feed		Weight of	Analysis N%	Rate of pol Rp x 10 ⁵ m	Rate of polymerization $R_{\rm D} \times 10^5$ moles/1/Sec.	
NO.	AN g	AA g	obtained. g		AN	AA	
1.	4.75 [.]	0.25	0.565	25.42	25.8140	1.6390	
2.	4.25	0.75	0.520	24.12	40.1500	3.4910	
3.	4.00	1.00	0.490	23.00	36.1200	4.9000	
4.	3.75	1.25	0.461	22.40	33.2610	5.4480	

Expt. No.	Monomer in feed		Weight of copolymer	Analysis N%	Rate of polymerization $Rp \ge 10^5$ moles/1/Sec.	
	AN g	AA g	obtained. g		AN	AA
1	4 75	0.25	0.214	25 38	17 3250	0 6470
2.	4.25	0.75	0.193	24.32	14.9800	1.1950
3.	4.00	1.00	0.183	23.38	13.6770	1.6300
1	3 75	1.25	0.154	21.38	10.8480	2.0600

Table 6. Copolymerization of acrylonitrile and allyl alcohol in the presence of strontium chloride at 100° .

nitrile band shifts towards the longer wave number (from 2220 to 2325 cm⁻¹), the complexation between AN and salt takes place on the nitrile group of AN in the 1:1 (AN : salt) molar ratio [5-6]. The complexes are highly hygroscopic soluble in polar solvents. Similarly the above mentioned inorganic slats are soluble in allyl alcohol and from complexes with this monomer. Allyl alcohol combines with MnC1₂, SrC1₂, CoC1₂ and NiCl₂ in the 1:2 (AA : salt) molar ratio whereas with PtCl₆ it combines in the 1:1 molar ratio and allylic group takes part in complexation. The complexes of allyl alcohol are viscous material soluble in alcohol and acetone as given elsewhere [7]. The complexation of AN and AA with metal halide increase the polarity of the monomer and stabalize the transition state of the reaction resulting increase in the rate $[^8]$.

The monomer reactivity ratio values of acrylonitrile (r_1) and allyl alcohol₁ (r_2) were determined by the following Fineman and Ross rate equation [9].

where f is d $[M_1] / d [M_2]$, F is monomer ratio M_1/M_2 . A plot of $\frac{F(f-1)}{f}$ against F_2/f is a straight line: whose slope is r_1 and intercept r_2 . This is called mole ratio method. The plot for the copolymerization of acrylonitrile and allylalcohol in the absence of salt is given in Fig 1. If the monomer concentration are expressed in moel fraction equation (1) may be rearranged [10] as

$$\frac{F_1(1-2F_1)}{(1-f_1)F_1} = r_1 + \frac{f_1^2(F_1-1)}{(1-f_1)^2F_1}r_2. \quad \dots \dots \dots (2)$$

where f_1 represents the mole fraction of monomer in feed, F_1 the mole fraction of monomer in the increment of

copolymer formed at the start of the copolymerization. A plot of $f_1(1-2F_2)$ $f_2^2(F_2-1)$

$$\frac{I_1(I-f_1)}{(I-f_1)F_1} VS \frac{I_1(I-f_1)}{(I-f_1)^2F_1}$$

gives a straight line whose slope is r_1 and intercept is r_2 . This is called mole fraction method. The plot for the copolymerization of AN and AA in the absence of salt is shown in Fig. 2. Similarly, by these two plots, the values of $r_1 \& r_2$ for the copolymerization of AN and AA in the presence of salts are determined which are given in Table VII. The reliability of the reactivity ratios values were further checked by using the following equation obtained by rearranging the copolymerization composition equation (2) with appropriate changes^[11].

F1 =
$$\frac{\overline{r_1}f_1^2 + f_1f_2}{\overline{r_1}f_1^2 + 2f_1f_2 + \overline{r_2}f_2^2} \dots \dots (3)$$

In this equation $r_1 \& r_2$ are the mean of the values obtained



Fig.1. A plot of $\frac{F}{f(f-1)}$ against $\frac{F2}{f}$ according to the mole

ratio method to obtain r1 and r2 for the copolymerization of AN and AA in the absence of salt.

Salt added	Mole ratio method r ₁ r ₂		Mole fraction method r ₁ r ₂		Mean values r ₁ r ₂	
Blank	1.21 <u>+</u> 0.025	0.05 <u>+</u> 0.025	1.18 <u>+</u> 0.025	0.05 <u>+</u> 0.025	1.195 <u>+</u> 0.025	0.05 <u>+</u> 0.025
Cobalt chloride	1.09 <u>+</u> 0.025	0.05 <u>+</u> 0.025	1.07 <u>+</u> 0.025	0.05 <u>+</u> 0.025	1.080 <u>+</u> 0.025	0.05 <u>+</u> 0.025
Strontium chloride	1.73 <u>+</u> 0.025	0.05 <u>+</u> 0.025	1.67 <u>+</u> 0.025	0.05 <u>+</u> 0.025	1.700 <u>+</u> 0.025	0.05 <u>+</u> 0.025
Managanese chloride	1.09 <u>+</u> 0.025	0.05+0.025	1.07 <u>+</u> 0.025	0.05 <u>+</u> 0.025	1.080 <u>+</u> 0.025	0.05 <u>+</u> 0.025
Platinic chloride	1.60 <u>+</u> 0.025	0.05 <u>+</u> 0.025	1.53 <u>+</u> 0.025	0.05 <u>+</u> 0.025	1.565 <u>+</u> 0.025	0.05 <u>+</u> 0.025
Nickel chloride	1.10 <u>+</u> 0.025	0.05 <u>+</u> 0.025	1.12 <u>+</u> 0.025	0.05 <u>+</u> 0.025	1.110 <u>+</u> 0.025	0.05 <u>+</u> 0.025

Table 7. Recativity ratio values determined by Finemann and Ross methods for acrylonitrile (r_1) and allyl alcohol (r_2) copolymerization in the presence of some inorganic salts.



Fig. 2. A plot of - F_1 (1-2 F_1) / (1- f_1) F_1 versur - fl^2 (F_1 - 1) / (1 - f_1)² F_1 according to mole fraction method to obtain r_1 and r_2 for the copolymerization of acrylonitrile and allyl alcohol in the absence of salt.

by equation (1) & (2), f_1 and f_2 are found from monomer concentration in feed. The points shown in Fig. 3 by hollow circles are obtained by plotting F_1 determined by equation (3) against corresponding f_1 . Similarly a plot of F_1 using the relation,

$$F_1 = \frac{d [M_1]}{d [M_1] + d [M_2]} \dots \dots (4)$$

determined by the chemical analysis of the copolymer formed against f_1 are shown by continuous line in Fig. 3 The calculated values plots are in good agreement with the observed values plots. This points towards the reliability of the measurements^[11]. The results of reactivity ratio values show that reactivity ratios values are little influenced by the addition of inorganic salts.

Since $r_1 > 1$ and $r_2 < 1$ the copolymerization system is nonazeotropic ^[12]. Also r_1 and $\frac{1}{r_2}$ are greater than unity both monomers radicals in the copolymerization system prefer the same monomer i.e., acrylonitrile. The copolymer of following type will be formed.

$$(AN)_m - (AA)_n - AN (AN)_m \rightarrow n m > n$$



Fig 3. A plot of mole fraction of M_1 in copolymer (F_1) against mole fraction of M_1 in feed (f_1) for the system acrylonitrile and allyl alcohol. The continous line shows the calculated values whereas observed values are shown by circles.

The number average molecular weight Mn was determined by using the following intrinsic viscosity – molecular weight relationship,^[13].

$$[\eta] = 3.92 \times 10^{-4} \text{ Mm}^{-0.75}$$
 (5)

where $[\eta]$ is the intrinsic viscosity of the copolymer solution. This is obtained by plotting $\eta sp/c$ against C, where η sp is the specific viscosity and C is the concentration of the solution expressed in percentage. The intrinsic viscosity of the copolymer sample prepared in the absence of salt was found $1.79 \, d1/g$ (as shown in Table 8 and Fig 4) which gives the value of Mn as 75757 and similarly by using the same plot, the intrinsic viscosity of the copolymer samples prepared in the presence of inorganic salt was measured in the range 1.23-1.68 g l/g. The value of Mn calculated from these values lies in the range 45737-69614. The decrease in number average molecular weight Mn with the addition of salt suggests that chain transfer reaction takes place due to the presence of salt as well as allyl alcohol. This behaviour of metal salts with vinyl monomers are also reported elsewhere (1,7,14).

V.A. Kargin *et al.*, [15] Gaylord [16] and others [1, 17] ahve proposed the mechanism of copolymerization of vinyl and allyl monomers in the presence of metal salts like ZnCl₂, HgCl₂, CdCl₂, CrCl₃, etc. The same mechanism may be proposed in the light of present findings since the results obtained are notconflicting. Acryloni-

Table 8. Effect of inorganic salt on the intrinsic viscosity [η] and number average molecular weight Mn of the copolymer prepared from acrylonitrile-allyl alcohol system.

Name of salt	[<i>n</i>] d/g	Mn
Blank	1.79	75757
Cobalt chloride	1.68	69614
Strontium chloride	1.36	52521
Manganese chloride	1.56	63064
Platinic chloride	1.33	50982
Nickel chloride	1.23	45737



Fig.4. A plot of η sp/c against C for the determination of intrinsic viscosity of the copolymer prepared in the absence of salt.

trile and allyl alcohol when heated in the absence of salt, the initiating free radicals containing unshared electrons results from thermal activation of AN and AA molecules. The formation of free radicals by thermal activation may be caused by hydrogen transfer between AN and allyl alcohol [8,18]. These free radicals (MAN, MAA) initiate the copolymerization reaction. Acrylonitrile and allyl alcohol when heated in the presence of salts, both monomers form complexes with such salts which are soluble in the monomers. The complexation of AN with metal halide $(AN \longrightarrow Mx_n)$ occurs on nitrile group whereas in the case of allyl alcohol it occurs on the double bond of allylic group $(AA \rightarrow Mx_n)$ of the monomer due to delocalization of electrons [4,7]. The complex formation increase the electron accepting character of the monomers and reaction takes place more easily. Also the transition state of reaction gets stabilized [8]. Both acrylonitrile and allyl alcohol form complex radicals,

$$AA - AA^{\bullet}_{Mx_n}$$
, $MAN - AN^{\bullet}_{Mx_n}$, $MAA - AN^{\bullet}_{Mx_n}$

The coupling of complex radicals of both allyl alcohol and acrylonitrile takes place to yield copolymer,

$$w(AA)_y - (AN - AN)_n - AN - AN'_{int} Mx_n Mx_n$$

REFERENCES

- 1. S.A.K. Lodhi and A. Rasheed Khan, J. Chem. Soc. Pak. (1981) (in press).
- 2. M. Imoto, T. Otsu and T. Harada, Makromole. Chem.,

65, 180 (1963).

- 3. F.A. Bovey, J. Polym. Sci., 47, 480 (1966).
- 4. S.A.K. Lodhi and A. Rasheed Khan, Revue Roum. de Chimie (1981) (in press).
- 5. G.N. Shrauzer, Am. Chem. Soc., 81, 5310 (1959).
- S.F.A. Kettle and I. E. Orgel, Chem. and., Ind No. 2, 49 (1960).
- 7. S.A.K. Lodhi and A. Rasheed Khan, Pakistan J. Sci. Ind. Res. 24, (1981).
- 8. Shigeru Yabumoto, K. Ishii and K. Arita, J. Polym. Sci., Part-A-1, 7, 1577 (1969).
- 9. Fineman and S.D. Ross, J. Polym. Sci., 5, 259 (1950).
- P. J. Flory, *Prinicipal of Polymer Chemistry* (Cornell University Press, New York, 1953) p. 185.
- 11. S.A.K. Lodhi and A. Rasheed Khan, Pakistan J. Sci.

Ind. Res., 13, 358 (1970).

- C.H. Bamferd, W.G. Barb, A.D. Jenkins and P.F. Onyon, "The Kinetics of Vinyl Polymerization of Radical Mechanism" (Butter-worths Scientific Publication, London, 1958). p. 157.
- 13. P. F. Onyon J. Polym. Sci., 22, 13 (1956).
- 14. S.A.K. Lodhi and A. Rasheed Khan, Revue Roum de Chimie 1980 (Under publication).
- 15. V.P. Kulikova, V.A. Kabanov, L.S. Polak and V.A. Kargin, J. Polym. Sci., 23, 147 (1967).
- N.G. Gaylord and A. Takahashi, J. Polym, Sci., Letters 6, 743 (1968).
- 17. Encylopedia of Polymer Science and Technology (Inter Science Publication, New York, 1967), Vol. 5 p. 173.
- 18. M. Imoto and Kajshiro, Kobanski Kagaka Kyotei, Asakura Tokyo (1965), p. 43.