# THEORETICAL CALCULATIONS OF TEMPERATURE-DEPENDENT ENERGY GAP, INTRINSIC CARRIER CONCENTRATIONS, FERMI LEVEL AND INDUCED CHARGE IN THE GOLD-DOPED AND NON-GOLD-DOPED SILICON

## G.R. Moghal

### PCSIR Laboratories, Karachi 39

#### (Received March 26, 1979; revised January 8, 1980)

The communication presents calculation of the total induced charge in the gold-doped and nongold-doped silicon at various temperatures; these calculations are based on theoretical values of the stipulated variation of Fermi level with temperature and gold concentrations in gold-doped and non-golddoped silicon which are also presented.

The plot of the experimental values of the intrinsic carrier concentration against the reciprocal of temperature (K) is compared with the one based on theoretical values. The agreement is fairly good below  $300^{\circ}$  K when the variation of the energy gap with temperature is counted.

### INTRODUCTION

Electrical and optical characteristics of the bulk single crystal semiconductor are considered to be essential for the basic understanding of any semiconductor device. Therefore, these characteristics are well documentated in literature [1-5].

Gold diffusion in the semiconductor devices is of technical importance because gold acts as a life-time killer of the minority charge carriers, allowing the increase in the switching speed of the semiconductor device [1-6]. A comprehensive review on the properties of gold in the bulk silicon has been given by Bullis [10].

Recently the interest has been centred on the effects of gold at the oxidized silicon surfaces, because of the current importance of silicon planar technology. Gold introduces negative charge centres at the silicon-silicon dioxide interface when diffused from the back side of the device substrate [11-14] thus, allowing the possibility of controlling threshold voltage of MOSFETS and fabricating n-channel enhancement mode or p-channel depletion mode devices, particularly for complementary pair operation [15, 16].

Nassibian et al. [17], have reported that the gold energy levels in the space-charge layer of the silicon determines the shape of the MOS device charcteristics and it does not account for the large positive shift of MOS device characteristics between theory and experiment. They have also reported that at least a part of the positive shift in threshold voltage of gold doped MOSFET is due to the ionized gold acceptor levels in the depletion region, and the main part of the positive shift is due to the negatively charged gold states at the silicon-silicon dioxide interface. However, this change in gold-doped MOS device characteristics is purely due to the surface-effects of gold. Recently it has been observed [18, 19] that the negatively charged gold centres at the interface are consistent with introducing two blocks of gold acceptor states: one close to the valence based edge and the other near to the conduction band edge; which are thought to be responsible for the positive shift of gold-doped MOS device characteristics along the gate voltage axis at room temperature irrespective of the conductivity of the device substrate. But at 78°K the MOS device characteristics of the p-type golddoped device are shifted back in the negative direction (towards the control MOS device characteristics) along the gate voltage axis and that of the n-type gold-doped device are further shifted (from the room temperature values) along the positive gate voltage axis.

However, to study these interesting surface effects of

Eg energy band gap of silicon; g spin degeneracy factor; 2 for electrons and 4 for holes; k Boltamann's constant;  $L_D$  intrinsic Debye elingth: n electron concentration;  $n_i$  intrinsic carrier concentration;  $N_D^-$  ionized acceptor concentration;  $N_D^-$  donor concentration;  $N_D^+$  ionized donor concentration;  $N_{AU}^-$  concentration of gold atoms;  $N_{AU}^-$  concentration of ionized gold donor atoms; p concentration of holes; q electronic charge of the carrier; and  $Q_r$  total induced charge in silicon.

Following values are given in KT/q units: T temperature Kelvin (K), U normalized potential, U<sub>s</sub> normalized surface potential, U<sub>F</sub> normalized Fermi potential, U<sub>B</sub> normalized boron acceptor potential, U<sub>p</sub> normalized phosphorus donor potential, U<sub>A</sub> normalized gold acceptor potential, and U<sub>D</sub> normalized gold donor potential. Following values are given in eV units  $\psi_S$  surface potential,  $\psi_F$  Fermi potential,  $\psi_B$  boron acceptor potential,  $\psi_p$  phosphorus donor potential,  $\psi_a$  gold acceptor potential,  $\psi_r$  gold donor potential,  $\varphi_a$  gold donor potential,  $\varphi_a$  gold donor potential,  $\varphi_r$  permittivity of free space, and  $\epsilon_s$  dielectric constant of silicon.

gold upon the MOS device characteristics, it is essential to develop a theory which takes into account the effects of gold in the bulk silicon. The surface-effects cannot be separated from the bulk effects if this is not done.

Therefore, it is the aim of this paper primarily to calculate the position of the Fermi level with temperature from  $78^{\circ}$  to  $300^{\circ}$ K for different amounts of gold concentration, and substrate impurity doping level in gold-doped and non-gold-doped silicon. The variation of the energy gap with temperature and the intrinsic carrier concentration as a function of the reciprocal of the temperature (K) is also calculated.

Finally the total induced charge versus surface potential in the gold-doped and non-gold-doped silicon for a doping level, gold concentration, and temperature have been computed. These theoretical calculations are the part and parcel for the evaluation of the theoretical gold-doped/ non-gold-doped C-V curves, channel conductance and threshold voltage.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Energy Gap. Macfarlane et al. [20] have measured the variation of the energy gap with temperature by the optical absorption measurement technique. Later Haynes et al. [21] measured it with recombination radiation technique.





The former measurements indicated that transitions occurred to an exciton state 0.0073 eV below the conduction band in good agreement with the calculated exciton energy of 0.01 eV. Frova and Handler [22] measured a value of the energy gap = 1.122 eV at  $296^{\circ}$ K (when an excition energy of 0.01 eV was added) with the electro-absorption measurement technique which supported the earlier measurements. The above measurements are compared in Fig. 1. The results of Macfarlane *et al.* [20] are considered to be the most reliable because they were obtained using very high resolution ( $\approx 0.0015 \text{ eV}$ ) equipment, they fall near the average of the other measurements.

Intrinsic Carrier Concentration. The intrinsic carrier concentration has been measured accurately by Morin and Maita [4] with the Hall-effect and the conductivity measurements. Later Herlet [23] reported the measurements of  $n_i$  using the forward characteristics of p-n junction.

In 1958, Putley and Mitchell [24] reported the measurements of Hall-effect and the conductivity on very pure ( $\approx 10^{13}$  cm<sup>-3</sup>) oxygen-free silicon. The values of  $n_i$  obtained are plotted alongwith those reported by Morin and Maita [4] and by Herlet [23] in Fig. 2, fitted with the calculated values. The values of  $n_i$  are calcuated from the following equation:

$$n_{\rm i} = 3.88 \times 10^{16} T^{3/2} \exp\left(-\frac{E_{\rm q}}{2 \rm kT}\right)$$
 (1)

If  $n_i$  is calculated from equation (1) assuming  $E_g$  varying with temperature, the experimentally measured and the computed values seem to be coincidental fairly well below room temperature. But above room temperature the computed values start deviating from the measured values and if  $n_i$  is calculated from the equation:

$$n_{\rm i} = 3.88 \times 10^{16} T^{3/2} \exp\left(-.605/\rm{kT}\right)$$
 (2)

above room temperature  $(300^{\circ}\text{K})$ , the measured and the computed values are fairly close to each other. Fig. 2 shows the calculated values of  $n_i$  between  $700 - 230^{\circ}\text{K}$ .

Calculations of Fermi Level in Gold-Doped and Non-Gold-Doped Silicon. The position of the Fermi level in the band gap of gold-doped and non-gold-doped silicon for any amount of gold concentrations in the bulk, and substrate impurity doping level as a function of temperature can be obtained from the solution of the charge neutrality equation in the bulk, where the band bending  $\psi = 0$ . The charge neutrality equation for gold-doped silicon:

$$p + N_{\overline{D}} + N_{A\overline{u}} = n + N_{\overline{A}} + N_{A\overline{u}}$$
(3)

for non-gold-doped silicon, put N\_A\_u^{+} and N\_A\_u^{-} =0



Fig. 2. Intrinsic carrier concentration in silicon between  $78^{\circ}$  and  $700^{\circ}$ K calculated and compared to experimental measurements:  $\blacktriangle$  [4];  $\bigtriangleup$  [23,24]. Present computed values with  $E_g$  varying with temperature and O: Present computed values with  $E_g = 121$ . eV. The straight line has been drawn through the solid circles using least square fit.





Fig. 3. The Fermi level in non-gold-doped silicon as a function of temperature, for various impurity concentrations.

The equality (3) can be solved by iteration to obtain the position of the Fermi level  $\psi_F$  in the band gap of silicon for any doping level, gold concentration, and temperature Fig. 3 shows the variation of the Fermi level as a function of temperature, with doping level as a parameter in the p- and n-type non-gold-doped silicon.

Gold doping moves the Fermi level in silicon towards the position midway between the gold acceptor and donor energy levels, which are situated at 0.54 eV below the conduction band edge and 0.35 eV above the valence band edge respectively [25]. In other words gold can compensate both p- and n-type silicon but not by equal amounts because the energy levels are deep lying in the silicon [25]. However, when concentration of gold in silicon is about three orders of magnitude greater than the shallow impurity doping, the Fermi level occupies the position midway between the two gold energy levels. Fig. 4 shows the variation of the Fermi level  $\psi_{\rm F}$  as a function of temperature for some known amounts of gold concentration in a substrate impurity doping level of p- and n-type gold-doped silicon. The variations of the energy band gap with temperature is also incorporated in these Figures.

Calculation of the Total Induced Charge in Gold-Doped and Non-Gold-Doped Silicon. The total charge  $Q_s$ , induced in the silicon can be evaluated by [26]:

$$Q_{\rm S} = \int_{x=0}^{x=\infty} \varphi(x) dx \tag{4}$$

where x=0 corresponds to the oxide-silicon interface. The

### G.R. Moghal



Fig. 4. The Fermi level in gold-doped silicon as a function of temperature, for various gold concentrations in a substrate doping level  $1 \times 10^{15}$  cm<sup>-3</sup> (R=  $\frac{\text{Gold concn}}{\text{Substrate doping level}}$ )

charge density in the gold-doped silicon can be given by:

$$\rho^{i} = q(p-n+N_{\overline{D}} - N_{\overline{A}} + N_{A\overline{u}} - N_{A\overline{u}})$$
(5)

for non-gold-doped silicon put  $(N_{Au}^+ - N_{Au}^-) = 0$ Assuming the silicon is non-degenerate, the total net charge within the silicon,  $Q_s$ , is obtained by substituting

equation (5) in Poisson's equation:

$$\frac{d^2 U}{dx^2} = \frac{-q\rho}{kT t t}$$
(6)

and integrating once one obtains:

$$Q_{\rm g} = \mp \frac{U_{\rm g}}{|U_{\rm g}|} \quad t_{\rm g} t_{\rm r} \frac{kT}{qL_{\rm D}} \quad \left[ \exp(U_{\rm F} - U_{\rm g}) - \exp(U_{\rm F}) + \right]$$

$$\exp (U_{\rm S} - U_{\rm F}) - \exp (-U_{\rm F}) - \frac{N_{\rm D}}{n_{\rm i}} \ln \left( \frac{g_{\exp p} (U_{\rm p} - U_{\rm F} + U_{\rm S})}{1 + g_{\exp p} (U_{\rm p} - U_{\rm F} + U_{\rm S})} \times \frac{1 + g_{\exp p} (U_{\rm p} - U_{\rm F})}{g_{\exp p} (U_{\rm p} - U_{\rm F})} - \frac{N_{\rm A}}{n_{\rm i}} \right) \ln \left( \frac{\exp (U_{\rm F} - U_{\rm B} - U_{\rm S})}{g + \exp (U_{\rm F} - U_{\rm B})} \times \frac{g + \exp (U_{\rm F} - U_{\rm B})}{\exp (U_{\rm F} - U_{\rm B})} + \frac{N_{\rm Au}}{n_{\rm i}} \right) \ln \left( \frac{2 + \exp (U_{\rm F} - U_{\rm D} - U_{\rm S}) + \exp (U_{\rm A} - U_{\rm F} - U_{\rm S})}{(U_{\rm A} - U_{\rm F})} \right) + \frac{1}{2 + \exp (U_{\rm F} - U_{\rm D})} + \frac{1}{2 + \exp (U_{\rm F} - U_{\rm D}) + \exp (U_{\rm A} - U_{\rm F} - U_{\rm S})} \right) \ln \left( \frac{2 + \exp (U_{\rm F} - U_{\rm D}) + \exp (U_{\rm A} - U_{\rm F} - U_{\rm S})}{(U_{\rm A} - U_{\rm F})} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \ln \left( \frac{2 + \exp (U_{\rm F} - U_{\rm D}) + \exp (U_{\rm A} - U_{\rm F} - U_{\rm S})}{(U_{\rm A} - U_{\rm F})} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \ln \left( \frac{2 + \exp (U_{\rm F} - U_{\rm D}) + \exp (U_{\rm A} - U_{\rm F} - U_{\rm S})}{(U_{\rm A} - U_{\rm F})} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \ln \left( \frac{2 + \exp (U_{\rm F} - U_{\rm D}) + \exp (U_{\rm A} - U_{\rm F} - U_{\rm S})}{(U_{\rm A} - U_{\rm F})} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \ln \left( \frac{2 + \exp (U_{\rm F} - U_{\rm D}) + \exp (U_{\rm A} - U_{\rm F} - U_{\rm S})}{(U_{\rm A} - U_{\rm F})} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \ln \left( \frac{2 + \exp (U_{\rm F} - U_{\rm D}) + \exp (U_{\rm A} - U_{\rm F} - U_{\rm S})}{(U_{\rm A} - U_{\rm F})} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \ln \left( \frac{2 + \exp (U_{\rm A} - U_{\rm F} - U_{\rm S})}{(U_{\rm A} - U_{\rm F})} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \ln \left( \frac{2 + \exp (U_{\rm A} - U_{\rm F} - U_{\rm S})}{(U_{\rm A} - U_{\rm F})} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \ln \left( \frac{2 + \exp (U_{\rm A} - U_{\rm F} - U_{\rm S})}{(U_{\rm A} - U_{\rm F})} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \ln \left( \frac{2 + \exp (U_{\rm A} - U_{\rm F} - U_{\rm S})}{(U_{\rm A} - U_{\rm F})} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \ln \left( \frac{2 + \exp (U_{\rm A} - U_{\rm F} - U_{\rm S})}{(U_{\rm A} - U_{\rm F} - U_{\rm S})} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \ln \left( \frac{2 + \exp (U_{\rm A} - U_{\rm F} - U_{\rm S})}{(U_{\rm A} - U_{\rm F} - U_{\rm S})} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \ln \left( \frac{2 + \exp (U_{\rm A} - U_{\rm F} - U_{\rm S})}{(U_{\rm A} - U_{\rm F} - U_{\rm S})} \right) \right)$$



Fig. 5 Variation of total charge  $Q_s$  induced in the gold-doped p-type silicon (N<sub>A</sub>=1 × 10<sup>15</sup> cm<sup>-3</sup> and gold concentration = 1 × 10<sup>16</sup> cm<sup>-3</sup>) as a function of surface potential  $\psi_s$  with temperature as a variable parameter: \_\_\_\_\_\_ (straight line) 300<sup>o</sup>K; - - (broken line) 200<sup>o</sup>K; and ------ (dotted line) 100<sup>o</sup>K.





6

## Theoretical Calculations of Temperature-Dependent Energy Gap



Fig. 7. Variation of total charge  $Q_s$ , induced in the gold-doped n-type silicon (N<sub>D</sub>=1 × 10<sup>16</sup> cm<sup>-3</sup>) and gold concentration 1 × 10<sup>16</sup> cm<sup>-3</sup> as a function of surface potential  $\psi_s$ , with temperature as a variable parameter: —— (straight line) 300<sup>o</sup>K; – – (broken line) 200<sup>o</sup>K, and ---- (dotted line) 100<sup>o</sup>K.

The equation (7) was evaluated from the accumulation to the inversion, heavy band bendings. The variation of the total induced charge with surface potential  $(Q_s/\psi_s)$  in the gold-doped and non-gold-doped p- and n-type silicon can be seen from Figs. 5–8, respectively.

#### Conclusion

The energy bandgap decreases with increasing temperature and the temperature coefficient  $\frac{\partial Eg}{\partial T}$  is found to be  $-2.4 \times 10^4$  for silicon.

The values of  $n_i$  below 300°K obtained from the equation 1, assuming,  $E_g$  variable with temperature follows fairly the extrapolated part of the experimentally measured  $n_i$  vs  $\frac{1}{T}$  plot and seems to be accurate.

When the Fermi level lies above the gold acceptor level most of the gold atoms are negatively charged. But when the Fermi level is between the two gold levels most of the gold atoms are neutral, and when the Fermi level is below the donor level most of the gold atmos are positively charged.

The  $Q_s/\psi_s$  relationship can be approximated into three separate forms corresponding to the regions of accumulation, depletion and inversion. The charge in the accumulation and inversion regions is exponentially varying with surface potential, and in the depletion region, it is



Fig. 8. Variation of total charge  $Q_s$ , induced in the non-gold-doped n-type silicon (N<sub>D</sub>=1×10<sup>15</sup> cm<sup>-3</sup>) as a function of surface potential  $\psi_s$ , with temperature as a variable parameter: — (straight line)  $300^{\circ}$ K; - - (broken line)  $200^{\circ}$ K; and - - - (dotted line)  $100^{\circ}$ K.

parabolically varying with surface potential.

Acknowledgement. Thanks are due to Mr. S. Wajahat Ali for a critical reading of the original manuscript.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. G.L. Pearson and J. Bardeen, Phys. Rev., 75, 865 (1949).
- 2. H.B. Briggs, Phys. Rev., 77, 287 (1950).
- 3. E.M. Conwell, Proc. I.R.E., 40, 1327 (1952).
- 4. F.J. Morin and J.P. Maita, Phys. Rev., 96, 28 (1954).
- 5. S.M. SZE, *Physics of Semiconductor Devices* (Wiley, London, 1969), p. 51.
- 6. G.K. Wertheim and W.M. Augustyniak, Rev. Sci. Instrum., 27, 1062 (1956).
- 7. G. Bemski, Phys. Rev., 111, 1515 (1958).
- 8. M. Ishigame, Japan J. Appl. Phys., 3, 720 (1964).
- J.M. Fairfield and B.V. Gokhale, Solid State Electron., 8, 685 (1965).
- 10. W.M. Bullis, Solid State Electron., 8, 189 (1965).
- A.G. Nassibian (a) Solid State Electron., 10, 879 (1967); (b) *ibid*, 10, 891 (1967).
- 12. S.F. Cagnina and E.H. Snow, J. Electrochem. Soc., 114, 1165 (1967).
- 13. S.D. Brotherton, J. Appl. Phys., 42, 2085 (1927).
- 14. D.R. Lamb, G.R. Moghal and Hawkins, Intern J. Electron., 30, 141 (1971).
- 15. P. Richman, Proc. I.E.E.E., 56, 774 (1968).

- 16. G.R. Moghal, Intern.J. Electron.,44, 205 (1978).
- 17. A.G. Nassibian and M.E. Sproull, Proc. I.R.E.E., Australia, **32**, 239 (1971).
- 18. G.R. Moghal, Ph.D. Thesis, Southampton University England, 1974.
- 19. G.R. Moghal, Intern.J. Electron., 46, 313 (1979).
- 20. G.G. Macfarlane, T.P. Mclean, J.E. Quarrington and V. Roberts, Phys. Rev., 111, 1245 (1958).
- 21. J.R. Haynes, M. Lax and W.F. Flood, J. Phys. Chem.

Solids, 8, 392 (1959).

- 22. A. Frova and P. Handler, Phys. Rev. Lett., 14, 178 (1965).
- 23. A. Herlet and Z. Angew, Phys. Rev., 9, 155 (1957).
- 24. E.H. Putley and W.H. Mitchell, Proc. Phys. Soc. (London), A72, 193 (1958).
- C.B. Colling, R.O. Carlson and C.J. Gallagher, Phys. Rev., 105, 1168 (1957).
- 26. G.R. Moghal, Thin Solid Films, 55, 329 (1978).