ELECTROLYTIC PREPARATION OF COPPER CARBONATE

M. Aslam Sahi, Naseeruddin Sheikh and Asaf Ali Qureshi

West Regional Laboratories, Pakistan Council of Scientific and Industrial Research, Lahore

(Received March 3, 1964)

Electrolytic preparation of basic copper carbonates has been studied. The electrolysis was carried out both with a mixture of sodium sulphate and sodium carbonate or sodium sulphate alone with the incorporation of CO_2 , using copper electrodes or lead as cathode. The maximum current efficiency (98 percent) was obtained with the concentration of 60 g. per litre Na₂SO₄, and at a current density of 13.5 amp./ft². 'Thur' (salinity) being abundantly available Containing sodium sulphate 25-30 percent, Na₂CO₃, 12-15 percent and NaCl, 5-7 percent provided the electrolytes. Cupric sulphate was prepared by dissolving the basic carbonate obtained from the above process in dilute sulphuric acid and crystallized out.

Basic copper carbonate (Azurite, $2CuCO_3$. Cu(OH)₂, or Malachite, CuCO₃.Cu(OH)₂ which finds a market as such, has been prepared by a number of investigators employing a variety of methods. The most important work already done being, (i) Alexander Wacker's Method: a copper gauze is immersed in a saturated solution of sodium chloride and shaken with oxygen and CO₂ at 2 atmospheric pressure, to give a precipitate of basic CuCO₃ containing I percent CuO,CuCl₂, (ii) Copper is treated with oxygen in the presence of an ammoniacal solution of $(NH_4)_2$ CO₃ or NH₄HCO₃, (iii) Howard's Electrolytic Process: a solution containing NaHCO₃ or any other salt providing CO₂ and NaClO₃ is electrolyzed in the presence of a copper anode.

The conversion of electrolytic copper carbonate to copper sulphate by treatment with H_2SO_4 ensures the purity of the product. In addition, this process is much more convenient as compared to the conventional methods, i.e., treating copper scrap or ore with H_2SO_4 . This chemical finds an extensive application in textile and leather industries but the complete absence of metallic sulphates and free acid is a prerequisite.

Experimental

Electrolytic basic copper carbonates form the starting material for the preparation of $CuSO_4$. $5H_2O$. Copper carbonates best suited for the above preparation are either azurite or malachite $(2CuCO_3$. Cu $(OH)_2$ or CuCO₃Cu $(OH)_2$. Both of these were prepared by continuous as well as batch processes.

Preparation of Electrolytic Basic Copper Carbonate. Continuous Process: Electrolytic copper carbonates were prepared by electrolyzing a solution containing Na_2SO_4 as electrolyte, using copper electrodes or copper anode and lead cathode, while CO_2 was passed during electrolysis. Copper ions at the anode react with sulphate ions of the electrolyte to give copper sulphate, whereas sodium ions discharged at the cathode form NaOH, which eventually gets converted to Na₂CO₃ in the presence of CO₂. Sodium carbonate and copper sulphate so generated react together to form basic copper carbonate, i.e., azurite or malachite, regenerating Na₂SO₄ in the solution.

A series of experiments was carried out to establish most appropriate conditions for the efficient electrolysis, by varying composition and concentration of the electrolyte at various current densities.

1. Variation of Current Efficiency* with Concentration.—Aliquots of different concentrations of the electrolyte were electrolyzed in the presence of CO_2 at a constant current density of 13.5 amp./ft.² and current efficiencies calculated in each case.

2. Variation of Current Efficiency with Current Density.—Taking the concentration 60 g./L. (Expt. I, Table I) as the optimum, the current efficiency was measured at various values of C.D. (Table 2).

The investigation covered a range of C.D. from 13.5 to 25.5 amp./ft.² Current efficiency was high up to 98 percent at a C.D. of 13.5 amp./ft.² but it decreased with the increase in C.D. (Fig. 1).

Instead of using CO_2 for the formation of basic copper carbonate, experiments were conducted with Na_2CO_3 in the electrolyte. The object in view was to explore the possibilities of utilizing 'Thur' which is abundantly available in saline areas of West Pakistan. The average analysis of 0.5 ton of 'Thur' collected from large area near village Chung, Tehsil Lahore, is Na_2SO_4 . 25-30%; Na_2CO_3 . 12-15% and NaCl. 5-7%. Since the composition of 'Thur' has been found to vary from place to place, the experiments were

^{*}In the following text, the current efficiency, current density and weight g./litre are abbreviated to C.E., C.D. and g./L.

carried out by varying the composition of bath, in accordance with the varying composition of 'Thur'. This study is graphically represented in Fig. 2, wherefrom corresponding conditions can fairly be predicted. The precipitated basic copper carbonate was filtered and repeatedly washed with water till free from Na₂SO₄. As the quantity of CuCO₃



It is apparent from Table 3 that the low concentration of Na_2CO_3 in the electrolyte alongwith Na_2SO_4 yields cupric oxide whereas basic copper carbonate is produced at higher concentrations. (Table 4).

Fig. 2 provides information about the current efficiencies with respect to the varying composition of the electrolyte.

The conversion of the basic copper carbonate used as the source for the manufacture of copper sulphate can be represented as under:

(i)
$$CuCO_3$$
. $Cu(OH)_2+2H_2SO_4+7H_2O$
= $2CuSO_4$. $5H_2O+CO_2$

TABLE I.—VARIATION OF C.E. WITH CONCEN-TRATION.

No.	Na2SO4 g./L.	Voltage (V)	Current efficiency %	Observa- tions
1	60	2.30	98.11	Malachite
2	70	1.95	97.73	,,
3	80	1.90	96.77	and the second second second
4	90	2.10	96.15	,,
5	100	2.10	95.77	,,

Time = 3 hours, C.D.= 13.5 amp./ft.^2



Fig. 1.—Showing variation of current efficiency with current density.

TABLE 2.---VARIATION OF C.E. WITH C.D.

No.	Current amps.	C.D. amp./ft. ²	Voltage (V)	С.Е. %	Observations	% composition		
						CuO	CO_2	H ₂ O
I	0.9	13.5	2.30	98.11	Malachite	70.01	19.77	10.22
2	Ι.Ο	15.0	2.35	89.58	"	69.92	19.20	10.88
3	Ι.Ι	16.5	2.45	79.82	"	70.57	17.93	11.50
4	I.2	18.0	2.50	74.02	"	70.00	18.98	11.02
5	1.3	19.5	2.75	70.40	,,	69.88	19.32	10.80
6	I.4	21.0	3.10	64.19	>>	70.00	19.50	10.50
7	1.5	22.5	2.90	60.66	"	69.90	19.66	10.44
8	I .6	24.0	3.40	56.43	>>	70.01	19.19	10.80
9	1.7	25.5	3.00	$5^{1} \cdot 73$	>>	69.58	19.42	II.00

Time = 3 hours; Na₂ SO₄ concentration = 63 g./litre.



Fig. 2.—Showing variation of current efficiency with composition of the bath.

litre concentration of Na_2SO_4 . The incorporation of CO_2 is also of vital importance as the decrease of the carbonate content facilitates the decomposition of basic copper carbonate to CuO, which adversely effects the yield of basic carbonate, and substantially minimizes the efficiency of the bath. It is, therefore, evident that suitable maintenance of all these relevant factors is very essential. Another important factor worth mentioning is that no special material of construction is required to carry out this process. On the basis of the experimental work, it can safely be mentioned that the most suitable bath can be of lead, which also functions as a cathode.

The basic copper carbonate can easily be converted to copper sulphate by treatment with

TABLE 3VARIATION OF	C.E. WITH Na ₂ CO ₃	CONCENTRATION.
---------------------	---	----------------

No.	Na ₂ CO ₃ (g./L.)	C.D. Vo amp./ft. ²	Voltage	Time (hrs.)	C.E. %	Observations	Percent composition		
			(V)				CuO	CO ₂	H ₂ O
I	17.0	12.0	2.10	1.5	90.05	Black CuO	97.45	1.40	1.15
2	20.0	12.0	2.05	I.0	92.20	Malachite	69.87	20.00	10.13
3	23.0	12.0	2.25	I.5	90.29	Black CuO	96.82	1.98	I.20
4	26.0	12.0	2.25	1.5	94.40	Azurite	72.55	22.20	5.25
5	29.0	13.5	2.40	1.5	94.83	,,	74.00	20.90	5.10
ĕ	32.0	13.5	2.45	1.5	94.24	,,	69.54	25.24	5.22
7	35.0	13.5	2.45	1.5	94.95	"	72.50	22.22	5.30

Average Na ₂ SO ₄	concentration =	60g./	litre
---	-----------------	-------	-------

TABLE 4.—VARIATION OF C.E. WITH COMPOSITION.

No.	Na ₂ CO ₃ g./L.	NaCl g./L.	Voltage (V)	C.E. %	Observa- tions
1	23.0	20.0	2.18	71	Malachite
2	26.0	16.0	2.20	82	
3	29.0	12.0	2.35	87.72	
4	32.0	8.0	2.35	91.25	
5	35.0	4.0	2.30	92.17	Azurite

Average Na₂SO₄ concentration 60 g./litre; C.D. 12 amp./ft.²

and $Cu(OH)_2$ varied from batch to batch, it was considered appropriate to dissolve the basic copper carbonate (malachite or azurite) in a slightly lesser volume of H_2SO_4 (40%). The remaining basic complex was allowed to settle and the solution was decanted off. This procedure ensured the purity of copper sulphate, as no free acid was left to contaminate. The remaining basic copper carbonate was then recycled.

Discussion

The experimental work detailed out above leads to the fact that maximum yield of copper carbonate can be obtained at the optimum conditions, i.e., at the C.D. of 13.5 amp./ft.² and 60 g./ dilute sulphuric acid. The copper sulphate, as already discussed earlier will be free from sulphuric acid. The process can be made further economically feasible by utilizing the CO_2 which is liberated during the acid treatment.

References

- 1. E. Campague, Electrochemical and Metallurgical Industry, 26 (1906).
- 2. H. Howard, U.S. Patent No. 1,565,367, Dec. 15, Chem. Abstr., 20, 341¹¹ (1926).
- Alexander Wacker Gescelleschaft Fur Elektrochemische G.m.b.H; Chem. Abstr., 26, 5710¹⁶ (1932).
- 4. Soc. anon. des carbonates francais; Chem. Abstr., **30**, 4631⁸ (1936).
- 5. Chem. Abstr., **43**, 3159b (1949). Chemische Fabric Rhoner A. G; Swiss Patent No. 232,022, July, 1944.
- 6. P.D. Wilson, Trans. Am. Inst. Mining, Met. Eng., 1180M, 4 (1922).
- Eng., **1180M**, 4 (1922). 7. Kroupa, *Thorpe's Dictionary of Applied Chemistry*, Vol. III, p. 357.
- 8. Treadwell and Hall, *Analytical Chemistry* (John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1955), ninth edition, Vol. II, p. 324.